

# **Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programme**

As required by Article 5 of the Shellfish Water Directive 2006/113/EC and  
Section 6 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations, 2006 (S.I. No. 268 of 2006)

## **Characterisation Report Number VI**

**CLEW BAY SHELLFISH AREA  
COUNTY MAYO**



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES .....	4
LIST OF TABLES .....	5
ABBREVIATIONS .....	6
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	7
1.1 Aims and responsibility .....	7
1.2 Shellfish water quality parameters.....	7
1.3 Designated shellfish areas.....	10
1.4 Development of the Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programmes .....	11
1.5 Assessment of Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programmes.....	11
1.6 Links with the River Basin Management Plans.....	12
1.7 Layout of the Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programmes .....	12
2.0 GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS .....	14
2.1 Protected areas .....	14
2.2 Shellfish growing activity.....	15
3.0 WATER QUALITY IN THE SHELLFISH AREA.....	16
4.0 CHARACTERISATION MAPS .....	19
5.0 PRESSURES.....	52
5.1 Marine Pressures.....	54
5.1.1 Point source pressures.....	55
Marine finfish farms .....	55
5.1.2 Morphology pressures.....	55
Fishing gear activity.....	55
Structures and associated activities.....	56
Physical modifications .....	57
5.2 Land-based Pressures.....	58
5.2.1 Point Source Pressures.....	59
Urban Wastewater Systems .....	59
Agricultural IPPCs and land-based finfish farms .....	62
Abstractions .....	63
Water Treatment Plants.....	64
IPPC Licensed Industries.....	64
Section 4 Licensed Industries .....	65
Quarries, mines, landfills and contaminated lands .....	66
5.2.2 Diffuse Source Pressures .....	67
On-site waste water treatment systems .....	67
Agriculture .....	67
Forestry .....	68
5.2.3 Morphology Pressures .....	70
Structures .....	70
Physical Modifications.....	70
5.3 Summary of Key Pressures.....	71
5.3.1 Key Pressures.....	71
5.3.2 Potential Secondary Pressures .....	71

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1 - 63 designated shellfish areas .....	10
MAP 1 - Designated shellfish area .....	23
MAP 2 - Licensed shellfish areas .....	24
MAP 3 - Contributing catchment.....	25
MAP 4 - Topography .....	26
MAP 5 - Soil wetness .....	27
MAP 6 - Vulnerability of groundwater to pathogens from subsoil discharges .....	28
MAP 7 - Vulnerability of groundwater to phosphorus from subsoil discharges .....	29
MAP 8 - Vulnerability of surface waters to pathogens from subsoil discharges.....	30
MAP 9 - Vulnerability of surface waters to phosphorus from subsoil discharges .....	31
MAP 10 - Likelihood of inadequate percolation in sub-soils .....	32
MAP 11 - Designated protected areas .....	33
MAP 12 - WFD surface water status .....	34
MAP 13 - Diffuse risk assessment.....	35
MAP 14 - Licensed finfish areas .....	36
MAP 15 - Fishing gear activity.....	37
MAP 16 - Marine structures .....	38
MAP 17 - Marine physical modifications.....	39
MAP 18 - Municipal waste water systems .....	40
MAP 19 - Pig units and finfish farms .....	41
MAP 20 - Industrial point source pressures.....	42
MAP 21 - On-site waste water systems .....	43
MAP 22 - Dairy and drystock livestock units.....	44
MAP 23 - Nitrogen fertiliser usage.....	45
MAP 24 - Phosphorus fertiliser usage .....	46
MAP 25 - Forestry types with acidification risk areas .....	47
MAP 26 - Forestry types with eutrophication risk areas .....	48
MAP 27 - Forestry types with sedimentation risk areas .....	49
MAP 28 - Freshwater structures .....	50
MAP 29 - Freshwater physical modifications .....	51

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1 - Parameters listed in Annex I of the Shellfish Water Directive.....	7
TABLE 2 - Shellfish licensed areas.....	15
TABLE 3 - List of maps .....	19
TABLE 4 - Summary of pressures.....	53
TABLE 5 - Finfish farms.....	55
TABLE 6 - Fishing gears.....	55
TABLE 7 - Marine morphology structures.....	56
TABLE 8 - Physical modifications.....	57
TABLE 9 - Urban waste water treatment plants.....	61
TABLE 10 - Combined Sewer Overflows.....	62
TABLE 11 - Agricultural IPPCs and land-based finfish farms .....	62
TABLE 12 - Abstractions .....	63
TABLE 13 - Water treatment plants.....	64
TABLE 14 - IPPC Licenses.....	64
TABLE 15 - Section 4 Licenses .....	65
TABLE 16 - Quarries, mines, landfills and contaminated lands .....	66
TABLE 17 - On-site waste water treatment systems.....	67
TABLE 18 - Livestock units and chemical fertiliser usage.....	67
TABLE 19 - Forestry types.....	68
TABLE 20 - Natural and man-made barriers .....	70
TABLE 21 - Channelisation .....	70

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>AA</b>	Appropriate Assessment
<b>BOD</b>	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
<b>CFB</b>	Central Fisheries Board
<b>CSO</b>	Combined Sewer Overflow
<b>DED</b>	District Electoral Division
<b>DEHLG</b>	Department of Environment Heritage and Local Government
<b>DO</b>	Dissolved Oxygen
<b>EPA</b>	Environmental Protection Agency
<b>EU</b>	European Union
<b>Ha</b>	Hectare
<b>IPPC</b>	Integrated Pollution Prevention Control
<b>Kg</b>	Kilogram
<b>LU</b>	Livestock Units
<b>NACE</b>	European industrial activity classification
<b>MI</b>	Marine Institute
<b>NPWS</b>	National Parks and Wildlife Service
<b>OSWWTs</b>	On-Site Waste Water Treatment System
<b>P.E.</b>	Population Equivalent
<b>PRP</b>	Pollution Reduction Programme
<b>RBD</b>	River Basin District
<b>RBMP</b>	River Basin Management Plan
<b>SAC</b>	Special Area of Conservation
<b>SEA</b>	Strategic Environmental Assessment
<b>SFPA</b>	Sea Fisheries Protection Authority
<b>SPA</b>	Special Protection Area
<b>SWMC</b>	Shellfish Waters Management Committee
<b>TCE</b>	Tetrachloroethylene
<b>WFD</b>	Water Framework Directive
<b>WSIP</b>	Water Services Investment Programme
<b>WTP</b>	Water Treatment Plant
<b>WWTP</b>	Waste Water Treatment Plant

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Article 5 of the Shellfish Directive (2006/113/EC) and section 6 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations (S.I. No. 268 of 2006) require the development of Pollution Reduction Programmes (PRPs) for designated shellfish areas in order to support shellfish life and growth and to contribute to the high quality of directly edible shellfish products. Shellfish PRPs relate to bivalve and gastropod molluscs, including oysters, mussels, cockles, scallops and clams. They do not cover shellfish crustaceans such as crabs, crayfish and lobsters.

### 1.1 Aims and responsibility

The objectives of Shellfish PRPs are to:

- Protect or improve water quality in designated shellfish areas;
- Achieve compliance with water quality parameter values outlined in Annex I of the Shellfish Waters Directive (2006/113/EC) and Schedules 2 and 4 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations (S.I. No. 268 of 2006);
- Determine the factors responsible for any non-compliances with the water quality parameter values; and
- Ensure that implementation of the Shellfish PRPs does not lead, directly, or indirectly, to increased pollution of coastal and brackish waters.

Under the Regulations, the Department of Communications, Marine and Natural Resources is responsible for the development of Shellfish PRPs. However, this responsibility was transferred to the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DEHLG) on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2008. An Inter-Departmental /Inter Agency Shellfish Waters Management Committee (SWMC) supports the Department in the development of the Shellfish PRPs.

The Regulations also place an obligation on every public authority to perform its functions in a manner that promotes compliance with the Directive and the Regulations, and to take such actions as are necessary to secure compliance with the Directive and the Regulations and with the Shellfish PRPs.

### 1.2 Shellfish water quality parameters

Compliance with the directive is measured against achievement of shellfish water quality parameter values outlined in Annex I of the Shellfish Waters Directive (2006/113/EC) and Schedules 2 and 4 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations (S.I. No. 268 of 2006). Table 1 summarizes these values. Mandatory (I) values must be fully achieved while it must be endeavoured to achieve guideline values (G).

TABLE 1 - Parameters listed in Annex I of the Shellfish Water Directive

Physical	Guideline Values (G)	Mandatory Values (I)
pH (pH units)		7 – 9 pH units
Temperature (°C)	A discharge affecting shellfish waters must not cause the	No mandatory value set in the Directive

	temperature of the waters to exceed by more than 2°C the temperature of waters not so affected	
Colouration (after filtration) (mg Pt/l)		A discharge affecting shellfish waters must not cause the colour of the waters after filtration to deviate by more than 10 mg Pt/l from the colour of unaffected waters
Suspended Solids (mg/l)		A discharge affecting shellfish waters must not cause the suspended solid content of the waters to exceed the content in unaffected waters by more than 30%
Salinity (%)	12 to 38%	≤ 40% A discharge affecting shellfish waters must not cause their salinity to exceed the salinity of unaffected waters by more than 10%
<b>Chemical</b>	<b>Guideline Value (G)</b>	<b>Mandatory Value (I)</b>
Dissolved oxygen (Saturation %)	≥ 80%	≥ 70% Should an individual measurement indicate a value lower than 70%, measurements shall be repeated An individual measurement may only indicate a value of less than 60% if there are no harmful consequences for the development of shellfish colonies
Petroleum hydrocarbons		Hydrocarbons must not be present in the shellfish water in such quantities as to: - produce a visible film on the surface of the water and/or a deposit on the shellfish - have harmful effects on the shellfish
Organohalogenated substances	The concentration of each substance in shellfish flesh must be so limited that it contributes in accordance with Article 1 (of the Directive), to the high quality of shellfish products	The concentration of each substance in the shellfish water or in shellfish flesh must not reach or exceed a level which has harmful effects on the shellfish larvae
Metals (Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb and Zn) (mg/L)	The concentration of each substance in shellfish flesh must be so limited that it contributes in accordance with Article 1 (of the Directive), to the high quality of shellfish products	The concentration of each substance in the shellfish water or in the shellfish flesh must not exceed a level which gives rise to harmful effects on the shellfish and their larvae The synergic effects of these metals must be taken into consideration
<b>Others</b>	<b>Guideline Value (G)</b>	<b>Mandatory Value (I)</b>
Faecal coliforms (per 100 mL)	≤ 300 per 100 mL in the shellfish flesh and intervalvular liquid	No mandatory value set in the Directive



Substances affecting the taste of shellfish		Concentration lower than liable to impair the taste of the shellfish
Saxitoxin (produced by dinoflagellates)	No limit given	No limit given

### 1.3 Designated shellfish areas

Fourteen shellfish areas were originally designated in 1994 under the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations (S.I. No. 200 of 1994, revoked by S.I. No. 268 of 2006). A further 49 areas were subsequently designated in 2009 under the European Communities (Quality of Shellfish Waters) (Amendment) Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 55 of 2009). All 63 designated sites are illustrated in Figure 1 below.



Note: Map numbers I to XIV refer to waters originally designated under the European Communities (Quality of Shellfish Waters) Regulations 2004 (S.I. No. 200 of 1994), while map numbers 1 to 45 refer to waters designated under the European Communities (Quality of Shellfish Waters) (Amendment) Regulations 2009 (S.I. 55 of 2009). The referenced maps can be found in the relevant regulatory documents.

FIGURE 1 - 63 designated shellfish areas

## **1.4 Development of the Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programmes**

The Directive and Regulations require that any non-compliances with the shellfish water quality parameter values are identified. The Directive and Regulations further require that the factors responsible for such non-compliances are identified.

Information on impacts and pressures has therefore been collated in an individual characterisation report for each shellfish site from available inventories. The likelihood of the pressures to impact on shellfish water quality parameter values in the shellfish areas has been estimated.

Individual site Pollution Reduction Programmes (PRPs) and a supporting toolkit of measures outline the measures which can be used to control pressures where necessary to protect and improve water quality in a specific shellfish area.

The 2009 Shellfish PRPs (including the supporting characterisation reports and toolkit of measures) represent an initial phase of Shellfish PRP development, drawing on available information sources. Their development has been a desk-based exercise and they provide a good indication of the main pressures likely to be impacting on shellfish water quality and the measures that can be used to control those pressures. Ongoing assessment and monitoring of shellfish waters will be used to confirm the effectiveness of these programmes and to refine the programmes where necessary. As the shellfish monitoring database grows, and as programmes are implemented, incremental changes will be made to ensure compliance with the standards and objectives established.

PRPs produced during 2009 supersede Action Programmes which were developed in 2006 for the 14 original shellfish areas.

## **1.5 Assessment of Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programmes**

A Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Shellfish PRPs and supporting toolkit of measures has been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive (2001/42/EC). SEA is a process for evaluating, at the earliest appropriate stage, all of the possible environmental effects of plans or programmes before they are adopted while giving the public and other interested parties an opportunity to comment and to be kept informed of decisions and how they were made. The assessment of the PRPs resulted in mitigation of some of the measures contained in the PRPs and toolkit of measures that were identified as likely to lead to adverse effects on other aspects of the environment. The reports associated with the SEA process can be downloaded from [www.environ.ie](http://www.environ.ie).

An ‘Appropriate Assessment’ of the Shellfish PRPs has been carried out in parallel with the SEA assessment in accordance with the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC). Appropriate Assessment is a process for evaluating the implications of plans or programmes for sites which have been designated for the protection and conservation of habitats and species of European importance. The reports associated with the Appropriate Assessment can be downloaded from [www.environ.ie](http://www.environ.ie).

## **1.6 Links with the River Basin Management Plans**

The EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC) provides a framework for the protection and restoration of the aquatic environment and terrestrial ecosystems and wetlands directly depending on the aquatic environment. In accordance with the requirements of the directive, River Basin Management Plans (RBMPs) were published in draft form in December 2008 with the final RBMPs published in December 2009. They are the primary plans in place in relation to the water environment for the foreseeable future.

Article 13(5) of the WFD states that ‘river basin management plans may be supplemented by the production of more detailed programmes and management plans for sub-basin, sector, issue, or water type, to deal with particular aspects of water management’. Shellfish PRPs are an example of such programmes. In addition, Article 13(4) and Annex VII of the WFD requires that RBMPs include ‘a register of any more detailed programmes and management plans for the River Basin District dealing with particular sub-basins, sectors, issues or water types, together with a summary of their contents’. The Shellfish PRPs are included in the registers of each of the River Basin Districts.

Articles 4 (1)(c) and 4 (2) of the WFD specify that, in relation to protected areas, where more than one of set of objectives relate to a given body of water, the most stringent shall apply. Designated shellfish areas are included in the WFD register of protected areas provided for in Articles 6 and 7 of the directive.

The WFD strengthens and consolidates a number of existing environmental directives while repealing others on a phased basis. The Shellfish Directive is due to be repealed by the WFD in 2013. Shellfish PRPs are therefore closely aligned with the RBMPs.

## **1.7 Layout of the Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programmes**

### ***Characterisation Report***

- **Section 1**  
Section 1 is an introductory section which puts the Characterisation Reports in context and outlines their contents.
- **Section 2**  
Section 2 describes the general characteristics of the designated shellfish areas as well as their contributing catchments.
- **Section 3**  
Section 3 describes water quality in the designated shellfish areas.
- **Section 4**  
Section 4 consists of a series of maps illustrating the general characteristics of the shellfish areas and catchments, as well as the marine and land-based pressures in the catchments.

- **Section 5**

Section 5 provides a series of tables summarising the marine and land-based pressures in the catchments. The likelihood of the pressures to impact on shellfish water quality parameters is discussed. A summary is also provided highlighting the key pressures and potential secondary pressures which are most likely to be impacting on shellfish water quality parameters. The discussions in this section draw on available information including information generated during the WFD implementation process and geographical features of significance. The differing nature of the pressures are also taken into account as pressures vary substantially in terms of how severely they are likely to impact on shellfish water quality parameters.

***Pollution Reduction Programmes***

- The Pollution Reduction Programmes summarise the specific measures for controlling the key and potential secondary pressures, identified in this characterisation report, which are most likely to be impacting on shellfish water quality in Clew Bay shellfish area. This can be downloaded from [www.environ.ie](http://www.environ.ie).

***Toolkit of Measures***

- The supporting toolkit of measures outlines all of the measures available for controlling all of the pressures which can impact on shellfish water quality. Due to the close alignments between the Shellfish PRPs and the RBMPs, the toolkit is drawn from the programme of measures contained within the RBMPs. This strengthens the integration of shellfish management and wider water quality management policy in Ireland. The toolkit can be downloaded from [www.environ.ie](http://www.environ.ie).

## 2.0 GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

Name	Clew Bay Shellfish Area
Map number	II.9 B
Year of designation	1994
Area	172.3 km <sup>2</sup>
River Basin District	Western RBD
County	Mayo
Location of sampling point 1	53 deg 52 52 min North (Lat) 9 deg 36 14.9 min West (Long)
Location of sampling point 2	53 deg 47 47 min North (Lat) 8 deg 37 08 min West (Long)
Catchment area	663.67 km <sup>2</sup>
Adjacent PRP	Achill Sound South and North

Clew Bay is situated in County Mayo in the Western River Basin District (Map 1). It is a complex series of interlocking bays with many islands made up of glacially formed drumlins. The Inner Bay is shallow with an average depth of 10 metres increasing seawards to an average depth of 20 metres and the tidal range is approximately 5 metres. The bay is open to westerly swells and winds from the Atlantic with Clare Island giving a small amount of protection. There are two designated shellfish areas in Achill Sound which opens on the northern shore of Clew Bay.

The designated shellfish area within Clew Bay is 172.3 km<sup>2</sup> in area and includes all the area enclosed by a line drawn from the most northerly point at Old Head to the most easterly point at Gubbaun Point.

The contributing catchment of the shellfish area is 663.67 km<sup>2</sup> in area (Map 3). On the northern shore is the Nephin Beg Mountain range. Croagh Patrick lies to the south and to the east are steep undulating drumlin hills of boulder clays with glacial sand and gravel deposits. All of the 11 rivers flowing into the inner bay are short spate rivers (i.e. fast flowing mountain river subject to floods or high water) with the exception of Newport River.

According to the 2002 census, there are 13,753 people living in coastal areas around the designated area and a further 2,236 people living within the catchment but away from the coast. The main town is Westport with a population of 5,634, with smaller towns and villages around the bay being Mulranny with a population of less than 400, Newport with a population of 527 and Murrisk and Louisburg with a population of 207.

### 2.1 Protected areas

The designated shellfish area lies within Clew Bay cSAC (Map 11). Other SACs which intersect the shellfish area's catchment include the Brackloon Woods, the Corraun Plateau, Oldhead Wood, the Owenduff/Nephin complex, the Clew Bay

complex, the Mweelrea/Sheefru/Erriff complex, the Newport River and the Clare Island cliffs. Recreational waters include Mulranny, Carrawmore, Bertra, Louisburgh Old Head Beach and the harbour in Clare Island. Ramsar sites include the Owenduff catchment. Drinking waters include the Yellow, Bunowen, Skerdagh, Newpoer and Moyour rivers as well as Ballin Lough, Moher Lough and Clogher Lough. The Newport catchment has been designated for the protection of the Freshwater Pearl Mussel. SPAs include the Owenduff/Nephin complex.

## 2.2 Shellfish growing activity

Table 2 summarises the number and area of shellfish licensed areas within the designated shellfish area. Oyster and mussel cultivation is predominant in the area (Map 2).

The natural native flat oyster beds in Clew Bay are of both national and international importance. They are self-seeding and are one of only nine such natural oyster beds in the country. With the exception of a privately owned bed at Cullenmore Island, the Clew Bay Oyster Co-operative Society Ltd. has managed the native oyster beds in Clew Bay for the past 24 years. The Co-op. was granted an Oyster Fishery Order in 1979 and since then has managed the fishing season and ensured the continuity of stocks by instigating fishing rotation, fallowing of beds, stock enhancement & disease control programmes. The Co-operative has also collectively managed the local approval of aquaculture licence applications, where all applicants within the Oyster Fishery Order area consult with the Co-op. in the process of applying to the Department of Communications, Marine & Natural Resources.

Intensive shellfish farming in Clew Bay began in the 1970's and today the industry involves the production of native flat oysters, Pacific oysters, mussels, and king scallops. The average production per annum from 2000 to 2004 was 7.8 tonnes of native oysters, 429 tonnes of Pacific oysters and 257 tonnes of rope mussels.

TABLE 2 - Shellfish licensed areas

Shellfish types	Number	Area	% Area
Abalone	2	0.07 km <sup>2</sup>	0.04 %
Clams	3	0.06 km <sup>2</sup>	0.03 %
Cockles	0	0	0 %
Lobsters	2	0.04 km <sup>2</sup>	0.02 %
Scallops	4	0.2 km <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %
Mussels	9	1.3 km <sup>2</sup>	0.8 %
Oysters	45	1.2 km <sup>2</sup>	0.7 %
Sea Urchins	1	0.2 km <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %
Periwinkles	0	0	0 %
Seaweed	0	0	0 %
Other	0	0	0 %

### **3.0 WATER QUALITY IN THE SHELLFISH AREA**

Dedicated shellfish monitoring data has been collated and compared with shellfish water quality parameter mandatory and guideline values outlined in Annex I of the Shellfish Waters Directive (2006/113/EC) and Schedule 2 and 4 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations (S.I. No. 268 of 2006) (Table 1).

Additional monitoring data from other monitoring programmes has also been collated in order to highlight any water quality issues in the vicinity of the shellfish areas. This can aid in the identification of the pressures most likely to impact on the shellfish areas and thereby in the identification of any measures to be applied. Datasets were collated from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Marine Institute (MI) and the Sea Fisheries Protection Authority (SFPA). Where applicable these additional monitoring data were compared with the shellfish water quality parameter mandatory and guideline values outlined in Annex I of the Shellfish Waters Directive (2006/113/EC) and Schedules 2 and 4 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations (S.I. No. 268 of 2006) (Table 1).

#### **Marine Institute Shellfish Monitoring Programme**

The MI carries out shellfish monitoring at designated shellfish areas. This dedicated shellfish monitoring programme involves analysing for general components, metals and organics in both water and biota samples. The results have been compared with the shellfish mandatory and guideline values outlined in Table 1.

For this designated area there are 22 water samples available from between 2004 and 2008 and 19 biota samples available from between 2004 and 2008. The shellfish guideline values outlined in Table 1 were not breached in any of the available samples.

For this designated area there are 27 faecal coliform biota results available from between November 2003 and August 2009. The shellfish guideline value for faecal coliforms in biota outlined in Table 1 was breached in two samples (February 2006, August 2008). Therefore, this shellfish area is compliant overall with the faecal coliform guideline value.

#### **EPA Marine Monitoring Programme**

The EPA Marine Monitoring Programme analyses for general components in water samples at a large number of marine sites around Ireland.

There are 10 EPA sites located in the designated area with monitoring data available from the period 2006 to 2008 for pH and DO. The values outlined in Table 1 for these parameters were not breached in any of these samples.

#### **WFD Monitoring Programme**

WFD status classifications from the WFD monitoring programme apply at the water body scale and are generally based on several samples/surveys targeting a variety of parameters including biological, physico-chemical, chemical and hydromorphological



elements. The monitoring information on which the marine status classifications are based was collected by the EPA, the Marine Institute, the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) and the Central Fisheries Board between 2005 and 2008.

The WFD status of the coastal water, within which some of the shellfish area is situated, is 'high' and therefore satisfactory. Some of the outer bay where the designated area is located has yet to be assigned a classification under the WFD programme. The Newport River, which is the main freshwater discharge into the shellfish area is 'moderate' and therefore unsatisfactory, reflecting the results of macroinvertebrate sampling (Map 12).

### **Shellfish Flesh Monitoring Programme**

Shellfish flesh classifications (carried out under the European Communities (Live Bivalve Molluscs) (Health Conditions for Production and Placing on the Market) Regulations, 1996 (S.I. No. 147 of 1996)) indicate faecal contamination in shellfish flesh. Sampling is carried out by the Sea Fisheries Protection Authority (SFPA) on at least a monthly basis.

The licensed areas within the designated areas for Oysters are classified as Class A meaning that live bivalve molluscs may be collected for direct human consumption and meet the health standards for live bivalve molluscs laid down in the EC Regulation on food safety (Regulation (EC) No 853/2004). However, in certain areas within the designated area this is a seasonal classification and it reverts to Classification B from the 2<sup>nd</sup> of June to the 30<sup>th</sup> of November, meaning that shellfish may be placed on the market for human consumption only after treatment in a purification centre or after relaying so as to meet the health standards. The licensed area within the designated area for Mussels is predominantly classified as Class B meaning that shellfish may be placed on the market for human consumption only after treatment in a purification centre or after relaying so as to meet the health standards for live bivalve molluscs laid down in the EC Regulation on food safety (Regulation (EC) No 853/2004). This indicates faecal contamination in this shellfish area. However, in certain areas within the designated area there is a seasonal classification and it reverts to Class A from the 1<sup>st</sup> of January to the 1<sup>st</sup> of August, meaning that live bivalve molluscs may be collected for direct human consumption and meet the health standards for live bivalve molluscs.

### **Overall Water Quality**

The dedicated shellfish samples available for this shellfish area were found to be compliant with shellfish mandatory and guideline values outlined in Annex I of the Shellfish Waters Directive (2006/113/EC) and Schedules 2 and 4 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations (S.I. No. 268 of 2006) (Table 1). Ongoing shellfish monitoring will strengthen the assessment of compliance status at this shellfish area.

The results of the WFD monitoring programme do not indicate any water quality issues in the vicinity of this shellfish area. However, there are some water quality issues in some of the waters discharging in the vicinity of this shellfish area, reflecting the results of macroinvertebrate sampling.

The shellfish flesh classification monitoring indicates low levels of faecal contamination in this shellfish area, but the area is in compliance with the shellfish guideline value for faecal coliforms.

## 4.0 CHARACTERISATION MAPS

The following series of maps illustrate the general characteristics of the designated shellfish area and its contributing catchment, as well as the marine and land-based pressures that could potentially impact on the shellfish area. The pressures are further divided into point source pressures, diffuse source pressures and morphological pressures.

Some of the point source pressures are symbolised according to whether they are ‘at risk’ or ‘not at risk’. These risk designations were developed during the WFD implementation process. Some of the designations date back to the Article V characterisation process in 2004 and 2005 but many of the risk designations were updated in 2008 to feed into the draft RBMPs. The risk designations are based on a variety of information, for example, waste water treatment plants can be designated as ‘at risk’ because they are serving a larger population than they were designed to cater for or because their discharges are impacting on water quality. Section 5 of this characterisation report provides the detail behind the risk designations for each of the pressures and discusses their likelihood to be impacting on shellfish water quality parameters.

Whilst the risk designations under the WFD provide a useful screening tool for pressures, their relevance in terms of any water quality issues measured in Shellfish Waters has been assessed in further detail to identify key pressures at a particular site. For example the WFD risk may be based on particular impacts to freshwater ecology which are not pertinent to the shellfish water status.

TABLE 3 - List of maps

Map No.	Map Title	Details
<b>General Characteristics Maps</b>		
MAP 1	Designated shellfish area	Designated shellfish area with summary statistics.
MAP 2	Licensed shellfish areas	Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food register of licensed shellfish areas within the designated shellfish area.
MAP 3	Contributing catchment	Nested river water bodies and inter-coastal freshwater bodies discharging in the vicinity of the designated shellfish area.
MAP 4	Topography	Topography of the contributing catchment.
MAP 5	Soil wetness	Soil wetness which indicates drainage characteristics
MAP 6	Vulnerability of groundwaters to pathogens from subsoil discharges	Potential risk of pathogens from sub-soils discharges reaching groundwaters. Based on vulnerability, presence of alluvium, mineral content of soils, wetness, aquifer type, subsoil depth and subsoil permeability.

Map No.	Map Title	Details
MAP 7	Vulnerability of groundwaters to phosphorus from subsoil discharges	Potential risk of phosphorus from sub-soils discharges reaching groundwaters. Based on vulnerability, presence of alluvium, mineral content of soils, wetness, aquifer type, subsoil depth and subsoil permeability.
MAP 8	Vulnerability of surface waters to pathogens from subsoil discharges	Potential risk of pathogens from sub-soils discharges reaching surface waters. Based on vulnerability, presence of alluvium, mineral content of soils, wetness, aquifer type, subsoil depth and subsoil permeability.
MAP 9	Vulnerability of surface waters to phosphorus from subsoil discharges	Potential risk of phosphorus from sub-soils discharges reaching surface waters. Based on vulnerability, presence of alluvium, mineral content of soils, wetness, aquifer type, subsoil depth and subsoil permeability.
MAP 10	Likelihood of inadequate percolation in subsoils	Likelihood of inadequate percolation in subsoils. Based on aquifer type, vulnerability and subsoil permeability.
MAP 11	Designated protected areas	SACs, SPAs, freshwater pearl mussel areas, recreational waters, drinking waters, nutrient sensitive areas, water dependant habitats and RAMSAR sites within the contributing catchment.
MAP 12	WFD surface water status	River, lake, transitional and coastal water body status resulting from the WFD monitoring programme.
MAP 13	EPA diffuse risk assessment	Water body based risk to waters from diffuse sources. Based on the percentages of diffuse land cover per water body including peatlands, coniferous forestry, agriculture and urban areas.
<b>Marine Pressures Maps</b>		
<b>Point Source Pressures</b>		
MAP 14	Marine finfish farms	Marine finfish farms in the vicinity of the designated shellfish area. Taken from the Marine Atlas.
<b>Morphology Pressures</b>		
MAP 15	Fishing gear activity	Fishing gear activity in the vicinity of the designated shellfish area. Taken from the Marine Atlas.
MAP 16	Structures	Marine morphology structures such as bridges and causeways

Map No.	Map Title	Details
MAP 17	Physical modifications	Physical modifications such as shoreline reinforcement, embankments, reclaimed land, capital and maintenance dredging, aggregate removal, dumping at sea and heavily modified waters within the designated shellfish area.
<b>Land-based Pressures Maps</b>		
<b>Point Source Pressures</b>		
MAP 18	Municipal waste water systems	Urban waste water treatment plants and combined sewer overflows within the contributing catchment. These are symbolized based on their risk designations.
MAP 19	Agricultural and aquacultural point source pressures	Pig units, and freshwater fish farms within the contributing catchment.
MAP 20	Industrial point source pressures	Industrial IPPCs, Section 4s, water treatment plants, abstractions, mines, quarries, landfills and contaminated sites within the contributing catchment. These are symbolized based on their risk designations.
<b>Diffuse Source Pressures</b>		
MAP 21	On-site waste water systems	On-site waste water treatment plants within the contributing catchment.
MAP 22	Dairy and drystock livestock units	Dairy and drystock livestock units per hectare of farmed land within each DED in the contributing catchment.
MAP 23	Nitrogen fertiliser usage	Nitrogen fertiliser usage per hectare of farmed land within each DED in the contributing catchment.
MAP 24	Phosphorus fertiliser usage	Phosphorus fertiliser usage per hectare of farmed land within each DED in the contributing catchment.
MAP 25	Forestry types with acidification risk areas	Forest cover in the contributing catchment with areas identified as being at risk from acidification.
MAP 26	Forestry types with eutrophication risk areas	Forest cover in the contributing catchment with areas identified as being at risk from eutrophication.
MAP 27	Forestry types with sedimentation risk areas	Forest cover in the contributing catchment with areas identified as being at risk from sedimentation.
<b>Morphology Pressures</b>		

Map No.	Map Title	Details
MAP 28	Structures	Barriers to migration, both natural and man-made in the contributing catchment.
MAP 29	Physical modifications	Channelisation, heavily modified and artificial water bodies in the contributing catchment.




MAP 1 - Designated shellfish area






MAP 2 - Licensed shellfish areas






MAP 3 - Contributing catchment






MAP 4 – Topography






MAP 5 - Soil wetness






MAP 6 - Vulnerability of groundwater to pathogens from subsoil discharges






MAP 7 - Vulnerability of groundwater to phosphorus from subsoil discharges






MAP 8 - Vulnerability of surface waters to pathogens from subsoil discharges






MAP 9 - Vulnerability of surface waters to phosphorus from subsoil discharges






MAP 10 - Likelihood of inadequate percolation in sub-soils






MAP 11 - Designated protected areas






MAP 12 - WFD surface water status






MAP 13 - Diffuse risk assessment






MAP 14 - Licensed finfish areas






MAP 15 - Fishing gear activity






MAP 16 - Marine structures






MAP 17 - Marine physical modifications






MAP 18 - Municipal waste water systems






MAP 19 - Pig units and finfish farms






MAP 20 - Industrial point source pressures






MAP 21 - On-site waste water systems






MAP 22 - Dairy and drystock livestock units






MAP 23 - Nitrogen fertiliser usage






MAP 24 - Phosphorus fertiliser usage






MAP 25 - Forestry types with acidification risk areas






MAP 26 - Forestry types with eutrophication risk areas






MAP 27 - Forestry types with sedimentation risk areas






MAP 28 - Freshwater structures





MAP 29 - Freshwater physical modifications



## 5.0 PRESSURES

This section of the characterisation report provides a tabular overview and inventory of the marine and land-based pressures in the vicinity of the designated shellfish area and within the contributing catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the shellfish area. The pressure data has been derived from existing inventories. The pressures considered most likely to be related to any measured impacts on shellfish water quality parameters in this shellfish area have been estimated in order to focus management efforts towards the protection and improvement of the water quality in this shellfish area.

The available information considered when determining the likelihood of the pressures to cause impacts includes:

- pressure type

The pressure types, be it marine or land-based, point, diffuse or morphological, vary in terms of: their likelihood to impact on shellfish water quality; the water quality parameters they are likely to affect; and the severity of the impacts. The results of monitoring can therefore provide an indication of which pressure types are likely to be causing impacts.

- pressure magnitude

The magnitude of the pressures acting on a shellfish area can affect the overall potential impact. For marine pressures, the magnitude depends on the number and scale of the pressures but also on the exposure of the shellfish area to the pressures which in turn depends on how open or sheltered the shellfish area is and on water circulation. For land-based pressures, the magnitude depends on the number and scale of the pressures but also on the remoteness of the pressures from the shellfish areas which in turn depends on the distance of the pressures from the shellfish area, the topography of the catchment and the presence of lakes downstream of pressures which can act as pollution sinks.

- WFD risk designations

A series of risk assessments relating to the main pressures on waters were carried out during the WFD implementation process to identify pressures ‘at risk’ of impacting the surrounding water environment. These were originally carried out in 2004 and 2005 in accordance with Article V of the directive but many of them were subsequently updated in 2008 to feed into draft River Basin Management Plans. A lot of information about the pressures was collected to undertake these assessments and some of that information is summarised in this section where it is useful in screening which pressures are most likely to impact on shellfish water quality. In all cases, the most up-to-date risk assessment information available was used. Full details of the WFD risk assessments can be found at [www.wfdireland.ie](http://www.wfdireland.ie).

Whilst the risk designations under the WFD provide a useful screening tool for pressures, their relevance in terms of any water quality issues measured in Shellfish Waters has to be assessed in further detail to identify key pressures at a particular site.

Table 4 lists all of the pressures considered in the development of the characterisation report and indicates their presence or absence within the shellfish area, within the marine waters in the vicinity of the shellfish area or within the contributing catchment. Those pressures that are present are discussed later in this section.

TABLE 4 - Summary of pressures

Pressure type	Pressure type	Pressures	Present		
Marine	Point	<b>Marine finfish farms</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
	Morphology	<b>Fishing gear activity</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
		Structures and associated activities			
		Ports	No		
		<b>Flow/Sediment manipulation structures</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
		Piled structures	No		
		Causeways	No		
		Physical modifications			
		Shoreline reinforcement	No		
		Embankments	No		
		Reclaimed Land	No		
		Capital dredging	No		
		<b>Maintenance dredging</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
		Aggregate removal	No		
		<b>Disposal at sea</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
		Marine heavily modified waters	No		
		Land-based	Point	Municipal waste water systems	
				<b>Urban waste water treatment systems</b>	<b>Yes</b>
				<b>Combined sewer overflows</b>	<b>Yes</b>
				Agricultural and aquacultural point sources	
	Pig units		No		
	<b>Freshwater finfish farms</b>		<b>Yes</b>		
	Industrial point sources				
	<b>Abstractions</b>		<b>Yes</b>		
	<b>Water treatment plants</b>		<b>Yes</b>		
	IPPCs		No		
	<b>Section 4s</b>		<b>Yes</b>		
	<b>Quarries</b>		<b>Yes</b>		
	<b>Landfills</b>		<b>Yes</b>		
	Mines		No		
	Contaminated lands		No		
	Other		No		
	Diffuse		<b>On-site waste water treatment systems</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
			Agriculture		
			<b>Livestock density</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Nitrogen fertiliser usage</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
		<b>Phosphorus fertiliser usage</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
		<b>Forestry</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
	Morphology	Structures			
		<b>Barriers to migration</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
		Physical Modifications			
		<b>Channelisation</b>	<b>Yes</b>		
		Heavily modified waters	No		
		Artificial waters	No		



## 5.1 Marine Pressures

Marine pressures are considered up to a distance of 5 kilometres from the shellfish area. Marine pressures situated further away or in adjacent waterbodies are also mentioned if they are considered significant. Marine pressure types include point source pressures (marine finfish farms) and morphological pressures including fishing gear activity, structures (ports, bridges, piers, slipways etc) and physical modifications (shoreline reinforcement, embankments, dredging etc). The potential impacts associated with these pressures are as follows:

- Point source pressures

Marine finfish farms can be associated with increased nutrient levels in waters, arising from fish excretion and excess feed input.

- Morphological pressures

Fishing activity can be associated with increased suspended sediment levels arising from disturbance of the seabed. The potential severity of the impacts varies depending on the type of fishing gear used and the extent, frequency and duration of the activity. The impact of boats is dealt with in association with marine structures below.

Structures (such as ports, harbours, bridges, slipways and piers) alter natural processes such as flow and silt movement and can therefore affect levels of suspended sediment in marine waters. The activities associated with these structures, for example shipping and boating, are associated with effects on the levels of general physico-chemical parameters, faecal coliforms, metals and chemicals.

Physical modifications (such as shoreline reinforcement, embankments and dredging) can alter natural processes such as flow and silt movement and can therefore affect levels of suspended sediment. However, once these modifications are established or the activities have ceased, the surrounding environment can acclimatise and impacts do not necessarily continue.

The following tables summarise the nature and extent of marine pressures up to a distance of 5 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. The likelihood for these pressures to impact on shellfish water quality parameters is discussed. The potential severity of the impacts of marine pressures is most closely associated with the activity type, magnitude and proximity and therefore the discussions in this section focus on these factors.

### 5.1.1 Point source pressures

#### *Marine finfish farms*

TABLE 5 - Finfish farms

Fishing gear types	Direct	0-5 km	Comment
Salmonid fish farms	5	3	Salmon
Other finfish farms	0	0	NA

Table 5 provides a summary of the marine finfish farms located within 5 kilometres of the designated shellfish area. Map 14 illustrates these pressures. Marine finfish farms can be associated with elevated nutrient levels from fish excretion and excess feed input.

There are 5 salmon finfish farms in the vicinity of this designated shellfish area and a further 3 within 4 kilometres. Monitoring in the area does not indicate any water quality issues which are likely to be associated with these fish farms and the WFD status of the water body within which they are located is 'high'. Therefore, they are unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

### 5.1.2 Morphology pressures

An assessment of the risk posed to marine waters from marine morphology pressures was carried out during the WFD implementation process. The results of this assessment show that the marine waters in and around this shellfish area are considered to be 'not at risk' from morphological pressures.

#### *Fishing gear activity*

TABLE 6 - Fishing gears

Fishing gear types	Type	Present	Comment
Pots	Static	Yes	Widespread throughout the area
Tangle Nets	Static	No	NA
Bottom Set Gill Nets	Static	No	NA
Draft Nets	Static	Yes	Small area within shellfish area
Drift Nets	Static	Yes	Large area to the west of the shellfish area
Line Fishing	Static	Yes	Widespread throughout the area
Box Dredge	Mobile	No	NA
Cockle Dredge	Mobile	No	NA
Hydraulic Dredge	Mobile	No	NA
Scallop Dredge	Mobile	No	Large area to the west of the shellfish area
Oyster Dredge	Mobile	No	NA
Otter Trawl	Mobile	Yes	Large area to the west of the shellfish area
Beam Trawl	Mobile	No	NA
Digging	NA	No	NA
Gathering	NA	No	NA
Rake	NA	No	NA

Table 6 provides a summary of the fishing gear activity occurring within 5 kilometres of the designated shellfish area. Map 15 illustrates these pressures. Boat movements are dealt with below in association with marine structures such as ports and piers.



Static fishing gear types generally would not be expected to impact on shellfish water quality. Mobile fishing gears however disturb the seabed and can therefore affect the levels of suspended sediments in marine waters with the severity of the impacts depending on the frequency, intensity and extent of the fishing activity.

Static fishing gear activity in the area includes widespread line fishing (lines set on the seabed with baited hooks at intervals); widespread use of pots (baited traps set on the seabed targeting crustaceans) and the use of draft nets and drift nets (slackly hung curtains of netting hanging down from the surface targeting salmon). These static fishing gear types are unlikely to affect shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

Mobile fishing gear activity includes the use of otter trawls (nets towed along the seabed) and the use of scallop dredges (metal blades which dig into the seabed to harvest shellfish) to the west of the shellfish area. Monitoring does not indicate any water quality issues which are likely to be associated with this activity and the WFD morphology status of the water bodies within which the activity is taking place is 'good' (morphology is an element of overall WFD status). Furthermore, the WFD assessment of the risk posed to marine waters from marine morphology pressures deems the marine waters in and around this shellfish area to be 'not at risk'. Therefore, this activity is unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

***Structures and associated activities***

TABLE 7 - Marine morphology structures

Marine morphology structures	Direct	0-5km	Comment
Ports	0	0	NA
Flow and sediment manipulation	1	1	Pier, slipway
Piled structures	0	0	NA
Causeways	0	0	NA

Table 7 provides a summary of the marine morphology structures located within 5 kilometres of the designated shellfish area. Map 16 illustrates these pressures. Flow and sediment manipulation structures include piers, breakwaters, groynes, flow deflectors and training walls. Piled structures include bridge and pier supports and wind turbines. Causeways include roads and railway lines. These structures affect flow and sediment movement and can therefore impact on levels of suspended sediments, though these impacts can settle down once the structures are well established in an area. The activities associated with marine structures, including shipping and boating, can affect a wide range of water quality parameters including general physico-chemical parameters such as suspended sediment, dissolved oxygen and nutrient levels. Faecal coliform levels can also be affected as well as the levels of harmful substances such as metals and pesticides. Boat movements can lead to erosion and sedimentation effects as well as pollution from fuels.

There is 1 pier structure directly adjacent to the shellfish area and 1 additional slipway within 5 kilometres of the shellfish area. Monitoring does not indicate any water quality issues which are likely to be associated with the structures themselves or their associated activities (such as fishing and boating), the morphological status of the

water bodies within which the structures are located is ‘good’ and the WFD assessment of the risk posed to marine waters from marine morphology pressures deems the marine waters in and around this shellfish area to be ‘not at risk’. Therefore, it is unlikely that the structures themselves or their associated activities are affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

### ***Physical modifications***

TABLE 8 - Physical modifications

<b>Physical modifications</b>	<b>Direct</b>	<b>0-5 km</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Shoreline reinforcement	0	0	NA
Embankments	0	0	NA
Reclaimed land	0	0	NA
Capital dredging	0	0	NA
Maintenance dredging	0	15	OPW drainage
Aggregate removal	0	0	NA
Dumping at sea	0	1	Marine dumping area

Table 8 provides a summary of the physical modifications occurring within 5 kilometres of the designated shellfish area. Map 17 illustrates these pressures. These modifications can affect flow and sediment movement though these impacts can cease once the modifications are established.

There are 15 areas of maintenance dredging and 1 area of aggregate removal within 5 kilometres of the shellfish area. Monitoring does not indicate any water quality issues which are likely to be associated with these modifications, the morphology status of the water bodies within which the activity is taking place is ‘high’ and the WFD assessment of the risk posed to marine waters from marine morphology pressures deems the marine waters in and around this shellfish area to be ‘not at risk’. Therefore, it is unlikely that these modifications are affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.



## 5.2 Land-based Pressures

The contributing catchment is used to identify the land-based pressures that could potentially be impacting on shellfish water quality and therefore the size of the contributing catchment can be important in determining the magnitude of the pressures. Contributing catchment sizes vary considerably; however, pressures are only considered up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the shellfish area and are, where appropriate, divided into four zones: direct, 0 to 5 kilometres, 5 to 10 kilometres and 10 to 20 kilometres. Pressures within the catchment, but further than 20 kilometres from the shellfish area, are also included if they are considered significant. In addition significant land-based pressures acting in adjacent waterbodies which may have an impact due to tidal influences are also considered where relevant.

Land-based pressure types include point source pressures, diffuse source pressures and morphology pressures. The shellfish water quality parameters potentially impacted by these pressures are as follows:

- Point source pressures can affect the whole suite of shellfish water quality parameters. For example, waste water treatment plants, CSOs and agricultural point sources can impact on the levels of faecal coliforms, nutrients, bacteria and other harmful substances in receiving waters while IPPC licensed industries, mines, quarries and landfills can impact on the levels of polluting substances in receiving waters such as petroleum hydrocarbons, organohalogenated substances and metals. Abstractions are included under this heading and can impact on salinity levels, though not to an extent likely to lead to non-compliances with shellfish water salinity standards, as well as reducing the dilution available for polluting discharges.
- Diffuse source pressures affect many of the shellfish water quality parameters. Agricultural activity and on-site waste water treatment systems (OSWTS) can impact on faecal coliform levels as well as general physico-chemical parameters such as the levels of suspended sediments and dissolved oxygen. Forestry activity can impact on the pH of receiving waters as well as on the levels of suspended solids and nutrients and it is also associated with the use of pesticides which can contain organohalogenated substances.
- Land-based morphology pressures, and associated activities, are not generally associated with impacts on water quality in marine areas. Their impacts are usually associated with the loss of natural freshwater features and habitats and changes to the behaviour of freshwater systems including sediment movement. Channelisation activities however, if occurring close to shellfish areas, can impact on shellfish water quality, particularly the levels of suspended sediment.

The following tables summarise the nature and extent of land based pressures within the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. The likelihood for these pressures to impact on shellfish water quality parameters is discussed. All of the factors discussed at the beginning of this chapter can affect the likelihood for land-based pressures to impact on shellfish waters.

## 5.2.1 Point Source Pressures

### *Urban Wastewater Systems*

Table 9 lists the urban waste water treatment plants in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the shellfish area. Map 18 illustrates these pressures and map references link the map and table. The information in the table was compiled by the WFD Municipal and Industrial Regulation Study in 2008 and includes:

- the distance of the plants from the shellfish area
- the WFD status of the water body within which the plants are located
- the level of treatment available at the plants
- whether the plants are included in the current Water Services Investment Programme 07-09
- the design capacity (in terms of population equivalents (P.E.)) of the plants
- the percentage at which the plants are operating above or below their design capacity currently
- the percentage at which the plants are likely to be operating above or below their design capacity in 2015 based on population projections
- the WFD risk designations associated with the plants and the reasons behind the risk designations

The WFD risk assessment in relation to urban waste water treatment plants was updated in 2008 to feed into the draft RBMPs with a further update currently underway (due for completion by November 2009). The plants were designated as 'at risk' for a variety of reasons including:

- A Insufficient WWTP capacity – existing load
- B Insufficient WWTP capacity – future load
- C Insufficient assimilative capacity for BOD – existing load
- D Insufficient assimilative capacity for BOD – future load
- E Insufficient assimilative capacity for nutrients – existing load
- F Insufficient assimilative capacity for nutrients – future load
- G Historical deterioration in downstream Q value where the Q station is within 3 kilometres of the outfall
- H Downstream Q value is less than 4 where the Q station is within 3 kilometres of the outfall
- I Deterioration in upstream to downstream Q value where the distance between Q stations is less than 3 kilometres
- J Exceedance of bathing water quality within 1 kilometre of the outfall
- K Exceedance of shellfish water quality within 1 kilometre of the outfall
- L Expert opinion

Waste water discharges from waste water treatment plants can contain a wide range of potentially polluting components originating from households, industry and urban areas. These discharges can affect the levels of faecal coliforms, nutrients, dissolved oxygen, suspended sediment, organic wastes and harmful chemicals in receiving waters.



The 2008 risk assessment identified 5 urban waste water treatment plants within the catchment and 3 of them have been designated as 'at risk' due to insufficient plant capacity for future population predictions and insufficient assimilative capacity in receiving waters. The WFD risk assessment was reviewed by experts in September 2009 with regard to Water Services Investment Programme and waste water licensing actions. The most significant plants were identified on the basis of proximity, plant performance, population equivalent and level of treatment.

The largest plant in the catchment is at Westport with a design P.E. of 15,000 and, though it is predicted that it will be operating at 11% above its design capacity by 2015, it is currently working within its design capacity and incorporates secondary treatment and nutrient removal. The EPA issued a waste water discharge licence on the 24th of June 2009 (registrations number D0055-01).

The plants at Mallaranny and Louisborough (which incorporate secondary treatment) and the plant at Newport (which incorporates primary treatment) are all currently operating within their design capacities. Licence applications were made by Mayo County Council in February 2009 (registrations numbers D0218-01, D0220-01 and D0224-01) pursuant to the requirements of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007. The plants at Mallaranny and Louisborough are not included in the current Water Services Investment Programme though there is a proposal for a new plant at Newport.

In relation to Old Head (which incorporates secondary treatment) a licence application will be made by Mayo County Council in December 2009 pursuant to the requirements of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007.

TABLE 9 – Urban waste water treatment plants

Name	Map Ref	Dist	Status	Treatment level	WSIP 07-09	Capacity PE	% surplus existing	% surplus future	At Risk
Louisbourgh	501	0-5	Poor	Secondary	No	1,000	0 %	-9 %	No
Mallaranny	502	0-5	nd	Secondary	No	1,017	0 %	0 %	Yes – C/D/E/F
Newport	503	0-5	nd	Primary	Yes	800	0 %	-21 %	Yes - B
Old Head	504	0-5	nd	Secondary	No	500	nd	nd	No
Westport	507	Direct	nd	Secondary plus nutrient removal	No	15,000	0 %	-11 %	Yes - B

NOTE: A minus figure in the percentage surplus columns means that the plant is working above its design capacity, nd denotes 'no data' where for example plants are located in areas with no WFD status information



Table 10 lists the Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 18 illustrates these pressures and map references link the map and table. Information provided in the table in relation to the CSOs includes:

- the distance of the CSOs from the shellfish area
- the WFD status of the water body within which the CSOs are located

TABLE 10 – Combined Sewer Overflows

CSO Name	Map Ref	Distance	Status
Westport	718	0-5	Poor

Discharges from CSOs can contain a wide range of potentially polluting components originating from households, industry and urban areas. These discharges, which receive no treatment, can affect the levels of faecal coliforms, nutrients, dissolved oxygen, suspended sediment, organic wastes and harmful chemicals in receiving waters.

The inventory of CSOs compiled during the WFD characterisation process shows that there is 1 known significant CSOs within the catchment. Monitoring in the shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues likely to be associated with these systems and therefore they are unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

#### ***Agricultural IPPCs and land-based finfish farms***

TABLE 11 – Agricultural IPPCs and land-based finfish farms

Name	Map Ref	Distance	Status	Comment
Seastream Ltd	5	5-10	High	Salmonid
Salmon Research Agency of Ireland Inc	17	0-5	Good	Salmonid

Table 11 lists the agricultural IPPCs and finfish farms in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 19 illustrates these pressures and map references link the map and table. Information provided in the table in relation to the agricultural IPPCs and land-based finfish farms includes:

- the distance of the units from the designated shellfish area
- the WFD status of the water bodies within which the units are located.
- Any available additional information e.g. the spreading radius for spreading of slurry

Slurry from pig farms is usually landspread and can affect levels of faecal coliforms, nutrients, dissolved oxygen and organic wastes if it is lost to waters. Land-based finfish farms can be associated with elevated nutrient levels due to fish excretion and excess feed input.

There are 2 land based finfish farms within the catchment. Monitoring in the shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues which are likely to be associated with these fish farms. Also, both of them are situated in water bodies with satisfactory

status which suggests that they are not impacting their surrounding water environment. Therefore, they are unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

### **Abstractions**

TABLE 12 – Abstractions

Name	Map Ref	Type	Distance	Status	Abs Rate m <sup>3</sup> day <sup>-1</sup>	At Risk (Ratio)
Mountain Stream	1538	Groundwater	5-10	Moderate	144	No
Well	1539	Groundwater	0-5	nd	142	No
Spring Well	1933	Groundwater	5-10	Moderate	82	No
Mountain Spring	1944	Groundwater	0-5	Moderate	47	No
Bunowen River	2283	River	5-10	High	75	No
Bunowen River	2284	River	0-5	Poor	800	No
Doolough Lake	2297	Lake	0-5	Poor	116	Yes (> 10%)
Lough Ard	2314	Lake	0-5	Moderate	176	Yes (> 10%)
Lough Ballin, Shallow lake	2317	Lake	0-5	Poor	267	No
Moher Lake	2364	Lake	5-10	Good	3,408	Yes (> 40%)
Mountain Stream	2369	River	0-5	nd	94	Yes (> 10%)
Newport River	2372	River	0-5	Moderate	316	No
Stream	2380	River	5-10	Moderate	1,200	Yes (> 40%)
Surface Lake	2387	Lake	5-10	Poor	106	No

Note: 'nd' means 'no data' where abstractions are located in areas with no WFD status information

Table 12 lists the abstractions in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 20 illustrates these pressures and map references link the map and table. Information provided in the table in relation to abstractions includes:

- the type of abstraction (river, lake or groundwater)
- the distance of the abstraction from the designated shellfish area
- the WFD status of the water body within which the abstraction is located
- the abstraction rate, expressed in cubic metres per day
- the WFD risk designations associated with the abstractions and the reasons behind the designations

The WFD risk assessment in relation to abstractions was updated in 2008 to feed into the draft RBMPs. Abstractions are deemed to be 'at risk' if they account for a significant proportion (>10%) of the resource. For river abstractions, the net abstraction is expressed as a proportion of the Q95 flow (i.e. the flow that is exceeded



95% of the time). For lake abstractions, the net abstraction is expressed as a proportion of the Q50 inflow to the lake (i.e. the long term median inflow). For groundwater abstractions, the net abstraction is expressed as a proportion of recharge volume (i.e. long term average recharge across the groundwater bodies).

Generally it is very unlikely that abstractions would lead to non-compliances with the shellfish standards for salinity in shellfish areas. Abstractions that represent a large proportion of their corresponding resources can decrease available dilution capacity but this is also unlikely to affect shellfish areas.

There are 14 abstractions in the catchment, 5 of which are ‘at risk’ due to the high ratio of abstraction to river flow/lake inflow. It is possible that these abstractions may be decreasing the available dilution capacity downstream. However, these are unlikely to be affecting any aspect of shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

### ***Water Treatment Plants***

TABLE 13 - Water treatment plants

<b>Name</b>	<b>Map Ref</b>	<b>Distance</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Risk</b>	<b>Risk</b>
Louisburgh	412	0-5	Poor	Yes	expert judgement
Newport	413	0-5	Moderate	Yes	expert judgement
Westport	416	0-5	Good	Yes	expert judgement

Table 13 lists the water treatment plants in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 20 illustrates these pressures and map references link the map and table. Information provided in the table in relation to the water treatment plants includes:

- the distance of the plants from the designated shellfish area
- the WFD status of the water bodies within which the plants are located
- the WFD risk designations associated with the plants and the reasoning behind the designations

The WFD risk assessment for water treatment plants dates back to the Article V characterisation process which was undertaken in 2004 and 2005. At that time expert opinion within the Local Authorities was used to indicate whether plants were ‘at risk’ of impacting on their surrounding water environment.

Discharges from Water Treatment Plants (WTPs) can affect the levels of suspended solids, algae and pathogens in receiving waters. Aluminium can also be present from the treatment process.

There are 3 WTPs in the catchment, all of which have been designated as ‘at risk’ of impacting their surrounding water environment. Monitoring in the shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues likely to be associated with these plants and therefore they are unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

### ***IPPC Licensed Industries***

TABLE 14 - IPPC Licenses

Name	Distance	Status	Risk
Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ltd	0-5	nd	No

Note: 'nd' means 'no data' where industries are located in areas with no WFD status information

Table 14 lists the IPPC licensed industries in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area.

Discharges from IPPC licensed industries are diverse and can affect the levels of faecal coliforms, nutrients, suspended sediments, dissolved oxygen as well as a wide range of chemicals in receiving waters.

There is 1 IPPC licensed industry in the catchment in Westport. Monitoring in the shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues likely to be associated with this industry and therefore it is unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

#### **Section 4 Licensed Industries**

TABLE 15 - Section 4 Licenses

Name	Map Ref	Distance	Status	Risk
Carrowholly Shellfish Ltd	403	Direct	nd	No
Croagh Patrick Seafoods Ltd	407	Direct	nd	No
Dive Centre	410	Direct	nd	No
Island Sea Farms Ltd	414	Direct	nd	No
Marine Institute, Salmon Research Trust of Ireland	418	0-5	Good	No
Molloy Shellfish Ltd	420	0-5	Moderate	No
Roscahill Seafoods	427	Direct	nd	No
Rosmoney Shellfish Ltd	428	Direct	nd	No
Western Regional Fisheries Board	437	0-5	Poor	No

Note: 'nd' means 'no data' where industries are located in areas with no WFD status information

Table 15 lists the Section 4 licensed industries in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 20 illustrates these pressures and map references link the map and table. Information provided in the table in relation to the industries includes:

- the distance of the industries from the designated shellfish area
- the WFD status of the water bodies within which the industries are located
- the WFD risk designations associated with the industries and the reasoning behind the designations

The WFD risk assessment in relation to Section 4 licensed industries was updated in 2008 to feed into the draft RBMPs. The industries were designated as 'at risk' for a variety of reasons which are outlined on page 58.

Discharges from Section 4 licensed industries are diverse and can affect the levels of faecal coliforms, nutrients, suspended sediments, dissolved oxygen as well as a wide range of chemicals in receiving waters.



There are 9 Section 4 licensed industries in the catchment but none of them have been designated as ‘at risk’. Monitoring in the shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues likely to be associated with these industries and therefore they are unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

***Quarries, mines, landfills and contaminated lands***

TABLE 16 - Quarries, mines, landfills and contaminated lands

Name	Map Ref	Distance	Status	Risk	Notes
Matt Forrestal & Sons Ltd	215	10-20	Moderate	No	Quarry
Derrinnumera Landfill	287	5-10	Poor	No	Landfill

Table 16 lists the quarries, mines, landfills and contaminated lands in the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 20 illustrates these pressures and map references link the map and table. Information provided in the table in relation to the plants includes:

- the distance of the industries from the designated shellfish area
- the WFD status of the water bodies within which the plants are located
- the WFD risk designations associated with the industries

Some of the WFD risk assessments in relation to these point sources were updated in 2008 to feed into the draft RBMPs but some of the assessments date back to the WFD characterisation process in 2004 and 2005. Expert opinion within Local Authorities was used to assign risk designations to quarries and landfills but monitoring data was used for mines and contaminated lands.

Mining and quarrying operations can impact on levels of suspended solids and metals in receiving waters whilst landfills and contaminated sites can be more diverse and impact on the levels of nutrients, suspended sediments and oxygen levels as well as metals and other chemicals.

There is 1 quarry and 1 landfill within the catchment and neither of them have been designated as ‘at risk’. Monitoring in the shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues likely to be associated with these facilities and therefore they are unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

## 5.2.2 Diffuse Source Pressures

### *On-site waste water treatment systems*

TABLE 17 - On-site waste water treatment systems

Risk	Number	% of total
Total number	5,220	-
Number per km <sup>2</sup> in the catchment	7.87	-
Number per km <sup>2</sup> nationally	1.4	-
Number that are high risk to surface waters from pathogens	4,826	92.45%
Number that are high risk to groundwaters from pathogens	2,472	47.35%
Number that are high risk to surface waters from phosphorus	4,885	93.58%
Number that are high risk to groundwaters from phosphorus	1,006	19.27%
High likelihood of inadequate percolation of leachate	3,520	67.43%

Table 17 summarises the numbers of on-site waste water treatment systems (OSWWTS) within the catchment up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area and outlines how many of them are located in areas of high risk to surface and groundwaters from pathogens and phosphorus and how many of them are located in areas where the likelihood of inadequate percolation of leachate is high. Map 21 illustrates the locations of the OSWWTSs while Maps 6 to 10 illustrate the risk to surface and groundwaters and the likelihood of inadequate percolation, all of which is based on soil, sub-soil and geological characteristics. Generally, systems located in areas where effluent cannot get away underground pose a risk to surface waters while systems located in areas where the effluent moves too quickly through the subsoil pose a risk to groundwaters. OSWWTS effluent can impact on the levels of faecal coliforms, suspended sediments, nutrients and dissolved oxygen in receiving waters. In addition, the use of household cleaning products can introduce a range of harmful chemicals to the water environment.

There are 5,220 systems in the contributing catchment and their density is much higher than the national average. The risk to surface waters from pathogens and phosphorus is also high throughout the catchment as is the likelihood of inadequate percolation. Groundwater contributions to surface water flows are significant in this catchment and therefore pollutants in groundwaters are likely to be conveyed rapidly to surface waters. The majority of the systems are therefore located in hydrologically unsuitable conditions. Many of them are located in the coastal region of the catchment in the direct vicinity of the shellfish area. Other factors which affect the likelihood of these systems to impact surface and groundwaters are whether suitable types of systems are selected, whether they are installed correctly, whether they are properly maintained and whether they are situated close to ditches, drains, watercourses, wells or boreholes. Monitoring in this shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues likely to be associated with these systems and therefore they are unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

### *Agriculture*

TABLE 18 - Livestock units and chemical fertiliser usage

Indicator	Catchment (per ha of farmed land)	National Average (per ha of farmed land)
Livestock units	0.57 LU	1.20 LU



Indicator	Catchment (per ha of farmed land)	National Average (per ha of farmed land)
Nitrogen fertiliser usage	43.85 kg	92.09 kg
Phosphorus fertiliser usage	7.41 kg	9.74

Nitrates Directive limit = 170 kg N per hectare = approx. 2 LU per hectare  
Nitrates Directive derogation = 250 kg N per hectare = approx. 3 LU per hectare.

Table 18 provides an estimate of the average number of dairy and drystock livestock units and the average loadings of nitrogen and phosphorus chemical fertiliser per hectare of farmed land within the contributing catchment area. Maps 22, 23 and 24 illustrate this. The figures beneath the table express the nitrate limit (and Ireland's derogation) under the Nitrates Directive in terms of livestock densities. Discharges related to agriculture can affect the levels of faecal coliforms, suspended sediments, nutrients and dissolved oxygen in receiving waters. In addition, the use of pesticides and herbicides can introduce a range of harmful chemicals to the water environment.

Approximately 50% of the area of this catchment is farmed land. Estimates of livestock density and fertiliser usage are lower than the national averages. However the EPA's diffuse model risk assessment, which investigates the relationship between catchment attributes (percentages of diffuse land cover including agriculture), water chemistry and ecological status, highlights some diffuse risk areas in the catchment (Map 13). Also, the prevalence of wet soil types in the catchment (Map 5) means that there is a potential risk of agricultural runoff in the catchment. Monitoring in the shellfish area does not indicate any water quality issues likely to be associated with agriculture and therefore agriculture is unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

### **Forestry**

TABLE 19 - Forestry types

Type	Area	Percentage of area
Conifers	63.4 km <sup>2</sup>	6.5 %
Broadleaves	5.78 km <sup>2</sup>	0.6 %
Mixed	0.83 km <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %
Other	0 km <sup>2</sup>	0 %
Cleared	22.8 km <sup>2</sup>	2.3 %
Unknown	2.52 km <sup>2</sup>	0.3 %
Total	95.33 km <sup>2</sup>	9.7 %
Nationally	6,795 km <sup>2</sup>	10.0 %

Table 19 presents the area and percentage area of the catchment under the various types of forest cover. Maps 25, 26 and 27 illustrate this. Forestry activity can impact on the pH of receiving waters as well as on the levels of suspended solids and nutrients. It is also associated with the use of pesticides which can introduce harmful chemicals to the water environment.

There is 95.33 km<sup>2</sup> of forested land in this catchment and the percentage area under forest cover is very similar to the national average. Unlike agriculture, the location of forestry activity is known and very little forestry activity occurs in close proximity to the shellfish area. The EPA's diffuse model risk assessment, which investigates the

relationship between catchment attributes (percentages of diffuse land cover including forestry), water chemistry and ecological status, highlights significant risk areas from diffuse sources (Map 13). Also, the more recent risk assessment, undertaken by the WFD Forest and Water study, highlights areas of acidification, eutrophication and sedimentation risk (Maps 25, 26 and 27). However, monitoring does not indicate any water quality issues which are likely to be attributable to forestry and therefore forestry is unlikely to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.



### 5.2.3 Morphology Pressures

#### *Structures*

TABLE 20 - Natural and man-made barriers

Freshwater morphology structures	Number	Dist	Comment
Barriers to migration	7	5-20 km	6 natural, 1 artificial

Table 20 summarises the occurrences of morphological structures within the contributing catchment area up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 28 illustrates this. Any impacts associated with barriers, which could include impacts on flow, sediment movement and fish migration, are likely to be localised.

There are 7 natural barriers to fish migration within the catchment but none of them occur in the vicinity of the shellfish area. These are therefore unlikely to affect shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

#### *Physical Modifications*

TABLE 21 - Channelisation

Physical modification	Extent	Comment
Channelisation	77 km	NA

Table 21 summarises the occurrences of channelisation within the contributing catchment area up to a distance of 20 kilometres from the designated shellfish area. Map 29 illustrates this. Channelisation, if it occurs reasonably close to a shellfish area, can affect suspended sediment levels in the shellfish area while it is taking place.

There is nearly 80 kilometres of channelisation within the catchment and some of it takes place in close proximity to the shellfish area. It is possible that this channelisation affects shellfish water quality while it is taking place.

## **5.3 Summary of Key Pressures**

Information from existing data sources has been used to identify all of the pressures acting on the shellfish area and to assess their likelihood to be affecting shellfish water quality in this shellfish area.

Monitoring within and in the vicinity of this shellfish area indicates low levels of faecal contamination in this shellfish area, but the shellfish area is in compliance with the guideline value for faecal coliforms.

This summary section highlights:

- **key pressures**

The key pressures are those identified as most likely to be affecting shellfish water quality. The final PRP will confirm and focus on these key pressures.

- **potential secondary pressures**

These pressures are identified as possibly affecting shellfish water quality. The final PRP will either confirm them as key pressures or eliminate them from further consideration.

### **5.3.1 Key Pressures**

None of the pressures in this catchment are considered likely to be affecting shellfish water quality.

### **5.3.2 Potential Secondary Pressures**

None of the pressures in this catchment are considered to be possibly affecting shellfish water quality.