

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 August 2021 11:23
To: IFD Public Consultations
Cc: bartojohn@gmail.com
Subject: Designated Salmonid Waters Bye Law

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

I wish to offer my support for this important bye law. Nonetheless there are a few points I would like to make regarding the bye law proposal.

1. I would feel that the designated waters should include the waters catchment rivers and tributaries as those will require care and management if these wonderful salmonid waters are to flourish. Does the "Waters" include the lakes rivers and various tributaries?

2. Why is it felt that it is necessary that the Minister has to amend issues around stocks etc. Is there not surely a case for allowing the scientists and other staff at the IFI do this and for the IFI to make the recommendations regarding stock management etc. Surely the principle of subsidiarity should be applied here? The IFI could make the recommendations and send these to the Ministry for consideration and approval, not the other way around.

3. Why is the word primarily used in respect of designating the waters primarily for the benefit of salmonids?? These lakes are as you are well aware very special European wild brown trout waters and surely the focus should be purely on these trout and salmon species, and no attempt should be made by others to apply a broader interpretation that would undermine the proposal of the bye law?

I welcome the efforts to protect these waters for the development and preservation of the salmonid species.

Yours gratefully,

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone