

The designation of colloquial invasive/intrusive species and the scope of species engaged does not allow for the individual needs of the individual lakes within the system and accompanying arterial networks to be catered for and protected as confined yet portioned balanced ecosystems .

The oversight and the laying of a Minister of the day to not only be consulted but to have sign off and veto make for a very unnerving arrangement for all stakeholders wither they be of state, semi-state or voluntary status . The species and ecological management programs are multidisciplined and generational scopes of works ,taking up to 50 years to stabilise/equalise with ongoing programs as is testament with our north American counterparts .To allow a well meant but misaligned temporary decision to offset long term wins would hugely undermine the ecological safety and sensitivity of the lake system. The past has shown, that long term thinking and horizon gain programs out weight short term strategies ,which should be avoided even if well meant .

It is my belief that whilst the framework and ethos of the initiative are well meant and assembled ,there are elements to the sections that make for near brutal ecological and species programs into the future .This expedition of a set of byelaws that are not fully fit but in fairness are close will only lead to harm in every respect. I ask you to consider a long fingered approach and a realignment of the long term goal posting of what is a hugely important and complex piece of work for yourselves and the next generations to enjoy this tapestry of this famous aquatic amenity .

The designation of the Bye Laws needs further teasing out and directional alignment for the long term protection of the network and thus i ask for an offset and further adjudication of the final consultation document .

Comad slan ,Slaint

DRAFT RESPONSE