

Response Information

Started: 01/04/2021 10:44

Completed: 01/04/2021 10:57

Last Edited: 01/04/2021 10:57

Total Time: 00:12:18.0500000

Is Test: No

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Login Info

User Name: AnonymousRespondent

Invitee:

Response Details

Page 2

4 - Question 3.1

Do you agree with our proposal that the EEOS should cover entities across all the main energy markets - electricity, natural gas, liquid fuel and solid fuel?

Yes

5 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

6 - Question 3.2

Do you agree with our proposal to obligate the following types of eligible parties within each market, should they be above a certain size, that is:

*a) of the eligible parties in the liquid fuel market, only the liquid fuel importers operating in Ireland;

Yes

7 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

8 - b) of the eligible parties in the solid fuel market, all entities, including all distributors

and suppliers operating in Ireland;

Don't know / No strong opinion

9 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

10 - c) of the eligible parties in the gas and electricity markets, only retail energy supply companies operating in Ireland

Don't know / No strong opinion

11 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

12 -

Question 3.3

Do you agree with our proposal to set the obligation threshold in terms of annual final energy sales volume (GWh)?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

13 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

14 - Question 3.4

Do you agree with our proposal to set the obligation threshold level at final energy sales of 400 GWh per annum, combined with the introduction of a free allowance?

Yes

15 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

16 - Question 3.5

Do you wish to provide any specific comments in relation to the target setting approach?

No

17 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

18 - Question 4.1

Do you agree with our proposal that 60% of Ireland's Article 7 obligation for 2021-30, equivalent to 36,424 GWh cumulative final energy savings, should be met by an Energy Efficiency Obligation Scheme?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

19 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

20 - Question 4.2

Do you agree with our proposal that the EEOS Target should be disaggregated, with a 40% target allocated to all transport energy suppliers and distributors (the Transport Sales Target), and a 60% target allocated to all non-transport energy suppliers and distributors (the Non-transport Sales Target)?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

21 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

22 - Question 5.1

Do you agree with our proposal that a certain proportion of obligated parties' energy savings must come from measures delivered in the residential sector (the Residential Delivery Sub-target)?

Yes

23 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

24 - Question 5.2

Do you agree that, of these residential savings, a certain proportion must also come from activity in energy poor homes (the Energy Poverty Delivery Sub-target)?

Yes

25 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

26 - Question 5.3

Do you agree with our position not to specifically require that a portion of the EEOS Target must be met by obligated parties through savings from measures in the transport sector?

Yes

27 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

28 - Question 5.4

Do you agree with our proposal that at least 15% of all EEOS savings, equivalent to 5,464 GWh cumulative final energy savings, must be delivered in the residential sector?

Yes

29 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

30 - Question 5.5

Do you agree that at least 5% of the EEOS Target (a third of the Residential Delivery Sub-target), equivalent to 1,821 GWh cumulative final energy savings, must be achieved through measures delivered in energy poor homes?

Yes

31 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

32 - Question 5.6

Taking account of the worked examples provided in Appendix 3, do you agree with our proposed approach in how the delivery sub-targets are allocated to obligated parties?

Yes

33 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

Page 3

34 - Question 6.1

Do you agree with our proposed requirements for delivery under the Residential Delivery Sub-target (excluding the Energy Poverty Delivery Sub-target)?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

35 - Please provide reasons to support your response. Where you do not agree with any aspects of the above proposal, please be as specific as possible in your response, including any suggestions you wish to make, taking account of the broad policy intent and the additional points included for consideration.

36 - Question 6.2

Do you agree with our proposed requirements for delivery under the Energy Poverty Delivery Sub-target?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

37 - Please provide reasons to support your response. Where you do not agree with any aspects of our proposal, please be as specific as possible in your response, including any suggestions you wish to make, taking account of

the broad policy intent.

38 - Question 7.1

Do you agree with our proposal to implement annual additive targets up to 2030, which obligated parties will be required to meet every year?

Yes

39 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

40 - Question 7.2

Do you agree that each obligated party's 2021 delivery, rather than their 2021 targets, should be considered in the calculation of targets for the remaining nine years of the obligation period?

Yes

41 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

42 - Question 7.3

Do you agree that obligated parties should be allowed to count savings achieved on their behalf by third parties towards their targets?

Yes

43 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

ah hello, Communities?

44 - Question 7.4

Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

Yes

45 - please dont be corporate focused. allow community actors to benefit

46 - Question 7.5

Do you agree that a minimum achievement requirement should be put in place, which would mean that if an obligated party achieves at least 95% of its annual additive target, with the exception of the final year of the obligation period, they are deemed compliant?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

47 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

I have no idea what this question means

48 - Question 7.6

Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

No

49 -

50 - Question 7.7

Do you agree that obligated parties should be allowed to exchange validated credits bilaterally?

Yes

51 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

52 - Question 7.8

Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

No

53 -

54 - Question 7.9

Do you think it could be beneficial to allow obligated parties to bilaterally trade all or part of their targets?

Yes

55 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

56 - Question 7.10

Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

No

57 -

58 - Question 7.11

Do you think there should be a buy-out mechanism in place for the 2021-30 EEOS, which would allow obligated parties to buy out a proportion of their EEOS targets by contributing to an Energy Efficiency National Fund?

Yes

59 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

60 - Question 7.12

Do you think that the buy-out cap should be set at a maximum of 30% of targets?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

61 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

62 - Question 7.13

Do you wish to make any suggestions on how buy-out prices are set, which would ensure the State is not financially disadvantaged and the relevant requirements of the EED are taken into account?

No

63 -

64 - Question 7.14

Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

No

65 -

66 - Question 7.15

Do you agree with all, or part of, our proposed approach to non-compliance and penalties?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

67 -

Please provide reasons to support your response.

68 - Question 7.16

In your opinion, how should penalties for non-compliance be determined, i.e. what factors should be considered as part of any calculation framework?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

69 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

70 - Question 7.17

Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to any aspect of this proposal?

Yes

71 - all of this is really too complicated for event people with a little knodlwedge to understand. Just make the right public interest decision and stop this consultation shite

Page 4

72 - Question 8.1

Do you wish to raise any issues or make any suggestions on improvements that could potentially be made, in relation to the redesigned EEOS, beyond those discussed in this document?

Yes

73 - all of this is really too complicated for event people with a little knowledge to understand. Just make the right public interest decision and stop this consultation shite

76 - Question 8.3

Do you agree with our proposal to require obligated parties to report their EEOS cost data to SEAI?

Yes

77 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

78 - Question 8.4

Do you wish to make any suggestions on how such data is reported, e.g. the level of detail, format and frequency of reporting?

No

79 -

80 - Question 8.5

Do you agree that cost data should be published, provided all commercial confidentiality concerns are addressed?

Don't know / No Strong opinion

81 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

82 - Question 8.6

Question 8.6: Do you wish to make any suggestions on how such data is published, e.g. the level of detail, format and frequency of publishing?

No

83 -

84 - Question 9.1

Do you think that there a case for the provision of additional information to all consumers, via bills or otherwise, on their consumption and/or on potential energy savings?

Yes

85 - Please provide reasons to support your response.

86 - Question 9.2

How could the provision of such information be implemented cost effectively and in a way that benefits all consumers, whether on bills or otherwise?

Don't know / No Strong opinion