

Liquid Gas Ireland Submission

Public Consultation on the redesign of Ireland's Energy Efficiency Obligation Scheme (EEOS)

April 30th 2021

Introduction

Liquid Gas Ireland (LGI) welcomes the opportunity to respond to the Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications' public consultation on the redesign of Ireland's Energy Efficiency Obligation Scheme

As a sector, we support the principle of energy efficiency first, and have engaged positively with the 2014-2020 scheme, having delivered energy saving measures for our rural consumers. As an industry we support the deployment of highly efficient boilers and hybrid heating systems. We are keen to continue to support our rural household and business customers further along the energy efficiency and decarbonisation journey. Our Vision 2040 document reflects our commitment to net zero.

However, the proposals made by DECC for the 2021-2030 EEOS remove our ability deliver for our rural consumers, by removing LPG and BioLPG heating system eligibility – both standalone efficient boilers and hybrid systems. This is despite a very significant increase in the size of the obligation placed on the LPG industry, partly because of the shift from primary to final energy calculation – which lowers the obligation placed on the electricity industry. This will increase costs for rural consumers.

While the current proposals will increase costs for our rural consumers, the removal of our ability to deliver, and the nature of the rural building stock, means that we anticipate a preference for cheaper urban delivery. In short, we anticipate that rural consumers will be asked to pay for a scheme which will potentially not benefit off-grid communities and businesses.

With the increased burden and effectively the subsidisation of the electricity market and urban consumers, rural consumers are being put at a distinct disadvantage. Energy costs will increase and affordable energy efficiency measures like the upgrade to highly efficient future-ready gas boilers - that are suitable for homes and businesses located beyond the natural gas grid - have been removed.

Our sector will effectively be forced to promote expensive 'deep retrofit' solutions to older rural homes with the resulting disconnect with our consumer base on the role of lower carbon gas and renewable gas to heat and fuel homes and businesses.

For these reasons, we call on DECC to increase the free allowance for suppliers of rural fuels and to reverse the proposal to remove our sector's ability to meet our obligation.

We call on DECC to extend the energy efficiency measure eligibility to include hybrid heat pumps, hybrid solar thermal heating systems and LPG/BioLPG boilers. This would provide consumers with an additional heat decarbonisation option which may suit their preferences, circumstance and/or property type, allowing the LPG industry to better contribute to delivering the objectives of the scheme.



Initial modelling and analysis carried out by LGI on our potential obligation cost impact, indicates a 10-12 €cent/kWh fuel price increase across LPG consumers. For a typical rural household (16 MWh annual energy demand) this equates to an increase of 290% per year, compared to the respective increase in fuel bills for urban customers.

LGI seeks urgent engagement with DECC on the concerns raised in the enclosed response.

About LGI

Liquid Gas Ireland (LGI) was established in 1969 as the ILPGA and has recently rebranded to Liquid Gas Ireland. LGI is the association representing companies operating in the LPG and BioLPG industry in Ireland. Members include LPG and BioLPG producers, distributors, equipment manufacturers, and service providers.

Our mission is to ensure that policy makers continue to recognise LPG and BioLPG as the clean, versatile and alternative lower carbon energy of choice for off-grid energy users in the residential, commercial, industrial, agriculture, leisure and transport sectors in Ireland.

Liquid Gas Ireland is committed to working with consumers, stakeholders and policymakers to support Ireland's goal to tackle air quality, drive decarbonisation and achieve net zero emissions by 2050.

Vision 2040

In September 2020, Liquid Gas Ireland launched its Vision 2040, which sets out how our industry can contribute to Ireland's 'Green New Deal', including the ambitious goal to reach net zero emissions by 2050, and to the Government's Clean Air Strategy.

Liquid Gas Ireland members are committed to working with Ireland's policymakers to develop a longterm supportive policy framework to achieve 'net zero' and address barriers to decarbonisation in the off-grid heat and transport sectors.

Our society demands an energy transition that is fair, affordable, and convenient; Liquid Gas Ireland's member companies have the experience and expertise to help deliver it. We look forward to engaging with Government and energy sector stakeholders in the coming weeks and months.

An Introduction to LPG and BioLPG

LPG has been a key part of Ireland's energy mix for almost a century. Going forward, we believe LPG and BioLPG can support the Irish Government's commitment to transition to a low-carbon economy and fulfil its binding obligations under the 2015 Paris Agreement on climate change.

As natural gas network penetration in Ireland is relatively low (39% of households, (Ervia, 2018)), the full potential of lower-carbon gaseous fuels like LPG needs to be further exploited. Over 40% of households in Ireland rely on oil to heat their homes. This share varies significantly by region, with roughly 26% of households located in towns using oil for central heating compared to 65% in rural areas (CSO, 2016; SEAI, 2019).

While LPG already offers significant reductions in carbon and air pollutant emissions, BioLPG is the future, providing up to 90% certified carbon emission savings compared to conventional LPG.



Already available on the market today (LGI, 2020), BioLPG allows off-grid homes and businesses to significantly reduce their carbon footprint without expensive retrofitting or changes to heating systems.

BioLPG is certified as renewable by the EU and Irish Government and is exempt from carbon tax, meaning it is a great investment for the future. As BioLPG is a 'drop-in' fuel, LPG infrastructure is already prepared for the future, so no new equipment is required.

For customers in rural off-grid homes and businesses, this is an easy and affordable switch to make, and the environmental benefits are immediate.

Just Transition for Rural Ireland

Affordability of cleaner, lower carbon energy solutions will be key for the economic recovery of rural households and businesses. LPG/BioLPG boilers are the most cost-effective low carbon option for many households, especially older properties that are less energy efficient.

For an average household, the upfront cost of an electric heat pump unit is €15,000 versus €4,000 for a new LPG or BioLPG boiler. Implementing the necessary energy efficiency upgrades to accommodate heat pump technology in an average older rural home would add an additional €35,000- €60,000 to the upfront cost, depending on size.

LPG boilers offer a long-term, cost effective pathway to decarbonisation through the gradual introduction of BioLPG into the mix; this means over time, carbon emissions will increasingly reduce.

LPG and BioLPG can also be used seamlessly in cutting edge heating systems, such as gas driven heat pumps and hybrid heat pumps.

It is the industry's ambition to offer 100% renewable energy solutions by 2040.

As BioLPG becomes increasingly available to the market in Ireland, LGI wants to work in partnership with the Government to drive consumer behaviour in rural areas towards cleaner, more efficient, lower carbon solutions.

Consultation response

Section 3: Obligated Parties

Question 3.1: Do you agree with our proposal that the EEOS should cover entities across all the main energy markets - electricity, natural gas, liquid fuel and solid fuel?

We do not agree with the proposal in its current form and call for either a removal of the obligation for the providers of rural fuels such as LPG companies, or an increased free allowance for the following reasons:

1. Obligation will place additional costs on rural consumers

Based on the proposals in the consultation document, we anticipate that the scheme will increase fuel prices for rural customers, without delivering additional benefits in the form of energy efficiency measure deployment.



Indeed, we feel that the industry will be forced to pass on higher fuel costs to rural consumers without being able to support households and businesses on their energy efficiency journey – as we are concerned that LPG and BioLPG boilers and hybrid heat pumps will be ineligible for energy credits. To simulate the impact on rural consumers of LPG, we have developed an *indicative* scenario where 2 LPG companies are obligated under the scheme based on the following assumptions:

- Final energy consumption of LPG is equivalent to ~2078 GWh/year based on Ireland's Energy Balance data for 2019.
- This compares to a non-transport final energy consumption of ~83,578 GWh per year as of 2019.
- We have assumed that final energy sales are approximately equivalent to final energy consumption for the purpose of this exercise.

Our analysis suggests that rural consumer energy prices will rise because of the proposal – **if the ECA average delivery costs prove to be correct in practice**. See the table below.

However, we do not think that the ECA report highlights the reality for rural delivery, where properties are larger on average, and more geographically disperse. In practice, evidence from the UK scheme suggests that these properties are less likely to receive energy efficiency measures under the scheme – as explored in the next section of our response.

Energy credit costs under	ECA averaged		
<u>proposals</u>	estimate	Unit	Source
Average non-residential cost of			
energy credit	0.058	€/kWh	ECA Study Table 4
Average residential cost of			
energy credit	0.17	€/kWh	ECA Study Table 19
Average energy poor cost of			
energy credit	0.74	€/kWh	ECA Study Table 24

2. Incentives of the scheme favour urban over rural communities

The proposal, whilst positive in its vision for increasing energy efficiency, fails to take into consideration the added burden placed on rural customers, and in turn, the obligated parties who serve them. Furthermore, the lack of additional sub-obligations towards rural households will inevitably result in a clear preference for measures focused in urban areas, due to their lower average cost – as seen in similar schemes (e.g. ECO in the UK (BEIS, 2021)).

The analysis provided by the ECA quotes an investment cost for the installation of cavity wall insulation (for a house), at €384 - 15,079. This upper value is unreasonably high, and far exceeds anything found in relevant literature. Additionally, the lack of delineation between house and apartment costs (See ECA Figure 9) serves to obfuscate far higher real-world costs in rural settings.

Using reasonable estimated figures for comparisons between urban and rural costs, a clear disparity appears:



	Urban	Rural
Comparable Measures	Cavity Wall	External Wall
Typical Cost (\textcircled{C}) - Lower Bound	500	12,000
Typical Cost (\mathfrak{C}) - Upper Bound	1,500	22,000
Energy Credit Valuation (kWh/yr)	2960	5370
Cost Per Credit $({\mathfrak C})$ - Lower Bound	0.338	2.23
Cost Per Credit $({\mathfrak C})$ - Upper Bound	0.507	4.10

Table 3.1.2: Comparison of differing costs between rural and urban retrofit measures. (E	ECA,	2020;
Energy Saving Trust, 2021; consultant estimates).		

Due to the tendency of rural homes to be older, (25% were built prior to 1960), external or internal wall insulation is more likely be the primary method for obligated parties. Additionally, the lack of apartments in these areas further increases costs.

Prior evidence for this urban preference can be seen in the recent UK Energy Company Obligation (ECO) scheme, with the results detailed in the table below:

	Urban	Rural
Number of Properties (Millions)	18.8	4.10
Works Carried Out (Millions)	2.27	0.363
Homes in Receipt of Work (%)	12.0	8.85

Table 3.1.3: Comparison of works carried out between rural and urban areas. (BEIS, 2021).

Even with rural sub obligations, there was still a clear preference for works carried out in urban areas. Under the current proposal this will be even starker. Taking archetype 6 from the ECA study, which is most representative of larger rural homes, the following table demonstrates the cost of delivery per energy credit in this instance, including ancillary costs – emitter upgrades and heat pump fittings (BEIS, 2020 converted) – which will be commonplace in traditional rural houses.

Measure	Cost Estimate (€)	Savings (kWh/yr)
External Wall Insulation	12,600	5,900
Attic Insulation	1,500	1,300
Improved Glazing	12,000	700
Air Source Heat Pump	8,500	11,900
Heating Controls	1,000	1,500
Ancillary Costs	2,070	N/A
Summation	37,670	21,300
Cost Per Credit	1.77	

Table 3.1.4: Cost per energy credit analysis for deep-retrofit measures. (ECA, 2020; Energy SavingTrust, 2021; and consultant estimates).

Inputting this cost per credit into our obligation cost model indicates a 10-12 €cent/kWh fuel price increase across LPG consumers. For a typical rural household (16 MWh annual energy demand) this equates to a potential increase of 290% per year, compared to the respective increase in fuel bills for urban customers.



Energy credit costs under	ECA averaged	Rural		
<u>proposals</u>	estimate	estimate	Unit	Source
Average non-residential cost of				
energy credit	0.058	0.058	€/kWh	ECA - Table 4
Average residential cost of				ECA Table 19 and
energy credit	0.17	1.67	€/kWh	Gemserv estimate
Average energy poor cost of				ECA Table 24 and
energy credit	0.74	1.67	€/kWh	Gemserv estimate

In summary, it is significantly more costly for an obligated party to achieve its energy savings by servicing rural households. For this reason, we think that it is unlikely that properties in rural areas will see substantial benefit from the EEOS, and instead anticipate a transfer of funds from rural households to support installation of energy efficiency measures in urban homes.

Furthermore, as explored in the next section of our response, the EEOS proposals remove the LPG industry's ability to provide solutions. The industry currently offers consumers highly efficient condensing boilers which can operate on both conventional LPG, and BioLPG (including blends), therefore offering a future proofed solution. Additionally, the LPG industry supports the deployment of hybrid heating systems, and acts as a flexible back-up to solar thermal and heat pump systems.

3. <u>Removal of ability to achieve obligation using industry's solutions – LPG and BioLPG</u>

The EEOS proposal removes the ability of the LPG industry to offer economically viable solutions to rural domestic and non-domestic consumers. In particular, Liquid Gas Ireland urges DECC to maintain eligibility for Band A high efficiency (>90%) boilers which can still deliver significant emission reductions and play a part in the upcoming energy transition.

Furthermore, BioLPG is supported as a transport fuel under the Biofuel Obligation Scheme and is currently delivering substantial reductions against the fossil fuel baseline – see Figure 3.1.1 below. We also believe that DECC should support the inclusion of LPG and BioLPG boilers. Without this, the LPG industry has no incentive to invest in the decarbonisation of its energy offering. Instead, the industry will be forced to purchase energy credits to meet its obligations.

Liquid Gas Ireland would also like to raise the issue of economies of scale in compliance with the scheme. Currently, most of these credits would be generated by larger Obligated Parties, with the purchase of credits essentially representing a financial transfer from the smaller Obligated Parties. Additionally, with regards to the LPG industry, it will ultimately result in a lack of engagement with our core customer base – primarily those in rural settings.







Figure 3.1.1: Emission savings delivered by BioLPG and other biofuels under the Biofuel Obligation Scheme. (NORA, 2019).

In summary, we call on DECC to either remove the obligation for rural fuel suppliers or increase the free allowance to reflect the likely under-delivery of EEOS measures in rural areas.

Question 3.2: Do you agree with our proposal to obligate the above types of eligible parties within each market, should they be above a certain size, that is:

a) of the eligible parties in the liquid fuel market, only the liquid fuel importers operating in Ireland.b) of the eligible parties in the solid fuel market, all entities, including all distributors and suppliers operating in Ireland.

c) of the eligible parties in the electricity and natural gas markets, only the retail energy supply companies operating in Ireland?

No comment.

Question 3.3: Do you agree with our proposal to set the obligation threshold in terms of annual final energy sales volume (GWh)?

LGI does not support the proposal in its current form.

Primary energy is a true reflection of the energy and carbon impact to generate a kWh of energy and is the metric adopted by the SEAI in its analysis and reporting of Ireland's energy consumption.

The final energy metric excludes the primary energy source and associated carbon emissions generated. Using final energy will provide electricity providers with an unfair advantage by excluding the primary energy source and relevant carbon emissions.



When using renewable biofuels in gas or liquid form, the full supply chain or life cycle analysis is accounted for, equivalent to the principle of primary energy. A clear and consistent approach across fossil and renewable fuels is recommended.

Question 3.4: Do you agree with our proposal to set the obligation threshold level at final energy sales of 400 GWh per annum, combined with the introduction of a free allowance?

Whilst we are concerned that the proposal currently obliges rural customers to pay for a scheme which will not benefit them, and that we have no ability to independently meet our obligations using LPG technology, we are generally supportive of the decision to lower the threshold and to introduce the free allowance. As analysed in the ECA report, this lowers the scheme cost burden on smaller energy suppliers, which is welcomed by the LPG industry.

But we do not think the free allowance threshold goes far enough for LPG companies.

As described, it does not seem fair to require rural consumers to pay for a scheme which analysis shows will disproportionately burden them.

As a result, we propose that the free allowance is increased for companies delivering fuel to rural areas to reflect the anticipated under-delivery.

Question 3.5: Do you wish to provide any specific comments in relation to the above target setting approach?

We agree that a pragmatic approach should be taken for the 2021-2030 EEOS, like that of the 2014-2020 scheme, to avoid unnecessary administrative burden and disruption, especially for the smaller Obligated Parties.



Section 4: The 2021-30 EEOS Target

Question 4.1: Do you agree with our proposal that 60% of Ireland's Article 7 obligation for 2021-30, equivalent to 36,424 GWh cumulative final energy savings, should be met by an Energy Efficiency Obligation Scheme?

No comment

Question 4.2: Do you agree with our proposal that the EEOS Target should be disaggregated, with a 40% target allocated to all transport energy suppliers and distributors (the Transport Sales Target), and a 60% target allocated to all non-transport energy suppliers and distributors (the Non-transport Sales Target)?

No comment



Section 5: EEOS Delivery Sub-targets

Question 5.1: Do you agree with our proposal that a certain proportion of obligated parties' energy savings must come from measures delivered in the residential sector (the Residential Delivery Subtarget)?

LGI agrees with this aspect of the proposal, we are positive about the inclusion of a residential delivery sub-target. However, as mentioned in Section 3, we are concerned about the challenge this will present to rural communities, and we are concerned that the restrictions on delivery will restrict our capacity to offer energy efficiency solutions (see our responses in section 6).

Question 5.2: Do you agree that, of these residential savings, a certain proportion must also come from activity in energy poor homes (the Energy Poverty Delivery Sub-target)?

LGI agrees, we believe it is important in delivering equitable progress, and helping to eradicate fuel poverty, and are pleased to see the inclusion of an energy poor homes target.

Question 5.3: Do you agree with our position not to specifically require that a portion of the EEOS Target must be met by obligated parties through savings from measures in the transport sector?

Liquid Gas Ireland agrees with this position.

Question 5.4: Do you agree with our proposal that at least 15% of all EEOS savings, equivalent to 5,464 GWh cumulative final energy savings, must be delivered in the residential sector?

Liquid Gas Ireland agrees with this position. It is important that our industry's consumers – many of which are rural households – benefit from this scheme. The residential sector obligation goes someway to achieving this, but as we have described elsewhere in this response, we are concerned that the residential target will be delivered in urban rather than rural areas.

Question 5.5: Do you agree that at least 5% of the EEOS Target (a third of the Residential Delivery Sub-target), equivalent to 1,821 GWh cumulative final energy savings, must be achieved through measures delivered in energy poor homes?

Liquid Gas Ireland agrees in principle with this sub-target, but we are again concerned that rural consumers will miss out.

Question 5.6: Taking account of the worked examples provided in Appendix 3, do you agree with our proposed approach in how the delivery sub-targets are allocated to obligated parties?

Liquid Gas Ireland agrees in principle.



Section 6: Delivery Requirements

Question 6.1: Do you agree with our proposed requirements for delivery under the Residential Delivery Sub-target (excluding the Energy Poverty Delivery Sub-target)?

Under the EEOS proposals, the LPG industry will be unable to deliver energy efficiency measures – given the industry's specialism in deploying advanced heating systems. Indeed, the industry has supported the installation of highly efficient condensing boilers which can operate on both conventional LPG and BioLPG. This gives these heating systems a decarbonisation pathway which is secured by Liquid Gas Ireland's 2040 Vision for renewable fuels. LPG heating systems are future proofed and future ready – unlike for example heating oil solutions which will require several upgrades to meet higher bio-oil blends.

For the 2021-2030 EEOS, the industry already supports the deployment of highly efficient boilers, either standalone, or used in conjunction with another low carbon heating system – such as solar thermal panels or a heat pump. This hybrid system is a good solution for properties which may not be suitable for a standalone heat pump or solar thermal system – because of physical characteristics of the property, or a high peak heat demand and challenging retrofit pathway.

As seen below, rural properties tend to be towards the lower energy ratings – a worrying fact considering the inevitable lack of works that will be carried out in these areas.



Figure 6.1.1: Approximate rural housing energy ratings. (CSO, 2021).

Compare this to the distribution of energy ratings for urban properties:





Figure 6.2.2: Approximate urban housing energy ratings. (CSO, 2021).

We therefore see a role for hybrid heating systems which are well-suited to operating in some of the poorer performing properties in rural areas.

Additionally, there are substantial benefits of hybrid systems for the wider energy system. Analysis carried out alongside Imperial College (Vivid Economics, 2019) demonstrated that unlike standalone heat pumps, hybrid heat pumps may have no net effect on peak electricity demand and could reduce the marginal system cost for consumers by 45-50% - which results in lower electricity prices and ultimately the cost of decarbonisation.

We call on DECC to extend the energy efficiency measure eligibility to include hybrid heat pumps, hybrid solar thermal heating systems and (bio)LPG boilers. This would provide consumers with an additional heat decarbonisation option which may suit their preferences, circumstance and/or property type, allowing the LPG industry to better contribute to delivering the objectives of the scheme.

Question 6.2: Do you agree with our proposed requirements for delivery under the Energy Poverty Delivery Sub-target?

We agree with the principle of reducing energy poverty in Ireland and would request that funding under 'Project Ireland' and carbon tax revenues specifically be allocated to properties located in rural areas.



Section 7: Nature of Targets and Compliance

Question 7.1: Do you agree with our proposal to implement annual additive targets up to 2030, which obligated parties will be required to meet every year?

In their current form, annual targets will be extremely challenging to meet for obligated parties. They reduce our capacity to develop longer term projects which can deliver deeper energy consumption savings over longer periods, particularly for the non-residential sector.

Question 7.2: Do you agree that each obligated party's 2021 delivery, rather than their 2021 targets, should be considered in the calculation of targets for the remaining nine years of the obligation period?

LGI would like to highlight that the COVID-19 pandemic has made it very difficult, and potentially dangerous to conduct installations. The cumulative effect of the energy credit system further compounds the issue.

Question 7.3: Do you agree that obligated parties should be allowed to count savings achieved on their behalf by third parties towards their targets?

LGI agrees strongly with this aspect of the proposal. Third parties have played an important role in helping the energy industry deliver EEOS obligations and have developed important specialisms and expertise which will lower the total cost of deploying energy efficiency measures.

We would however highlight that some third parties have used tendered off energy credit delivery to the highest bidder. Third parties should be encouraged to enter the market in increased numbers to boost competition and drive down delivery costs. Additional flexibility can also help obligated parties deliver the scheme at lowest cost for the consumer.

Question 7.4: Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

We believe this mechanism is vital for allowing economically efficient uptake of energy saving works.

Question 7.5: Do you agree that a minimum achievement requirement should be put in place, which would mean that if an obligated party achieves at least 95% of its annual additive target, with the exception of the final year of the obligation period, they are deemed compliant?

Whilst we agree that there must be accountability to the targets, we argue that these narrow requirements harm the cost-effectiveness of the scheme. As described in previous answers, designing a scheme that is flexible to a variety of technologies, and solutions – including multi-year energy efficiency projects – opens delivery up to an increased number of solutions. This competition for solutions lowers total scheme costs and the cost to the consumer.

Instead, the 95% minimum achievement requirement is too restrictive and should instead be relaxed to enable the delivery of a wider variety of energy efficiency projects and solutions.

Question 7.6: Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?



As highlighted previously in our response, the COVID-19 pandemic severely impacts the ability to generate and meet energy efficiency savings in the immediacy. Furthermore, it has also highlighted future unpredictability. LGI would like to point out that the current 95% target leaves little room for manoeuvre in the event of future disruption to industry.

Question 7.7: Do you agree that obligated parties should be allowed to exchange validated credits bilaterally?

LGI agrees with the principle of allowing credits to be exchanged between obligated parties. As described in our responses in section 7, we advocate opening the market for delivery of energy credits to third parties and also allowing the trading of credits between obligated parties to deliver a deep and more liquid marketplace for energy efficiency measure delivery. This will allow organisations who are able to deliver energy efficiency measures most cost effectively to benefit from trade and will lower the cost of the scheme for consumers.

Question 7.8: Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

However, as discussed in section 3, we anticipate that the current scheme setup will likely facilitate a transfer of energy efficiency funding from rural consumers to benefit urban homes. Additionally, LGI believes that this mechanism should not stand as substitute for the ability of companies in the LPG sector to generate their own energy credits.

Question 7.9: Do you think it could be beneficial to allow obligated parties to bilaterally trade all or part of their targets?

Liquid Gas Ireland agrees with this proposal and sees the ability to trade credits as being an important part of the functioning of a cost-effective scheme.

Question 7.10: Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

No comment.

Question 7.11: Do you think there should be a buy-out mechanism in place for the 2021-30 EEOS, which would allow obligated parties to buy out a proportion of their EEOS targets by contributing to an Energy Efficiency National Fund?

LGI agrees on the basis that the funds are used effectively to create future energy efficiency savings by the EENF.

Question 7.12: Do you think that the buy-out cap should be set at a maximum of 30% of targets?

LGI believes that the 30% cap seems relatively arbitrary and again imposes further restriction upon companies. There should be flexibility particularly given the current and lasting effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. The scheme should promote flexibility, such that obligated parties have the means to fulfil their commitments.



Given the inclusion of several new scheme sub-obligations, restrictions on delivery, the continued impact of COVID-19 and changes to eligible measures, Liquid Gas Ireland argues that the buy-out cap should be increased to allow for greater delivery flexibility.

Question 7.13: Do you wish to make any suggestions on how buy-out prices are set, which would ensure the State is not financially disadvantaged and the relevant requirements of the EED are taken into account?

No comment.

Question 7.14: Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to this flexibility mechanism?

No comment.

Question 7.15: Do you agree with all, or part of, our proposed approach to non-compliance and penalties?

LGI are in partial agreement with the proposed approach.

Question 7.16: In your opinion, how should penalties for non-compliance be determined, i.e. what factors should be considered as part of any calculation framework?

We would like to raise concerns surrounding the difficulty in compliance with the proposal in its current form.

Question 7.17: Do you wish to provide any suggestions or comments in relation to any aspect of this proposal?

The current proposal of a rigid annual set of targets disincentivises riskier, potentially longer-term solutions to energy saving, regardless of the potentially greater savings.



Section 8: New Scheme Opportunities and Cost Information

Question 8.1: Do you wish to raise any issues or make any suggestions on improvements that could potentially be made, in relation to the redesigned EEOS, beyond those discussed in this document?

LGI would like to repeat the issues highlighted in section 3.1 and reiterate that the proposal fails to consider the nuances of the LPG industry, in particular its primarily rural customer base, and the potential of renewable Bio-LPG.

Question 8.2: In your opinion, how often should the scheme be reviewed, e.g., after three years; after four years; after five years?

LGI believes that regularly revisiting the scheme and its performance would be best and suggest a twoyear review period.

Question 8.3: Do you agree with our proposal to require obligated parties to report their EEOS cost data to SEAI?

LGI disagrees strongly, as discussed below.

Question 8.4: Do you wish to make any suggestions on how such data is reported, e.g., the level of detail, format and frequency of reporting?

LGI would like to point out that EEOS cost data is sensitive and has not been required during the prior period. The reporting of this data poses additional risk, and administrative burden to the industry.

Question 8.5: Do you agree that cost data should be published, provided all commercial confidentiality concerns are addressed?

LGI are strongly opposed to the publishing of cost data.

Question 8.6: Do you wish to make any suggestions on how such data is published, e.g. the level of detail, format and frequency of publishing?

LGI believes that any publishing of commercial data for energy credit costs will eventually result in price discrimination by third parties working to deliver these measures. This will again cause prices to rise for the end-user.



Section 9: Information on Bills

Question 9.1: Do you think there is a case for the provision of additional information to all consumers, via bills or otherwise, on their consumption and/or on potential energy savings?

LGI agrees that this additional information could prompt behavioural change in consumers, and lead to further progress being made towards reducing final energy consumption.

Question 9.2: How could the provision of such information be implemented cost effectively and in a way that benefits all consumers, whether on bills or otherwise?

No comment.

Contact Details

For further information relating to the enclosed response, please contact:

Chair, Liquid Gas Ireland

T: +1 500 0810

E: info@lgi.ie

W: lgi.ie

(Our web site includes Vision 2040, LPG/BioLPG consumer testimonials and a recent rural energy policy roundtable discussion).



References

BEIS (2020) Cost of installing heating measures in domestic properties. Available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/cost-of-installing-heating-measures-in-domestic-properties</u> (accessed 29 April 2021).

BEIS (2021) Household Energy Statistics. Available at: <u>https://data.gov.uk/dataset/1656fb7d-1ca3-462d-a11b-8078acc33275/household-energy-efficiency-statistics</u> (accessed 28 April 2021).

CSO (2016) Housing in Ireland. Available at: <u>https://data.cso.ie/</u> (accessed 28 April 2021).

CSO (2021) Domestic Building Energy Rating. Available at: <u>https://data.cso.ie/</u> (accessed 28 April 2021).

ECA (2020) Economic and policy analysis in support of a revised EEOS for Ireland 2021-2030 Final Report.

Energy Saving Trust (2021) Advice on insulating your solid walls. Available at: <u>https://energysavingtrust.org.uk/advice/solid-wall-insulation/</u> (accessed 28 April 2021).

Ervia (2018) Decarbonising Domestic Heating in Ireland. Available at: <u>https://www.ervia.ie/decarbonising-domestic-he/KPMG-Irish-Gas-Pathways-Report.pdf</u> (accessed 28 April 2021).

LGI (2020) LPG and BioLPG: a Greener Deal for Rural Ireland. Available at: <u>https://www.lgi.ie/assets/uploads/documents/LGI%20Vision%20Document%202040%20Final.pdf</u> (accessed 28 April).

NORA (2019) The Biofuels Obligation Scheme Annual Report 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.nora.ie/_fileupload/45720X0088%20%20BOS%20Annual%20Report%20for%202019%2</u> <u>Ofor%20publication.pdf</u> (accessed 28 April 2021).

SEAI (2019) Energy in Ireland 2019 Report. Available at: <u>https://www.seai.ie/publications/Energy-in-Ireland-2019-.pdf</u> (accessed 28 April 2021).

Vivid Economics (2019) Accelerated electrification and the GB electricity system.