

To whom it may concern,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The type of Judges we need in Ireland are Judges who will uphold the rule of law, even against financial institutions, for ordinary individuals and not just for people who owe €27 million, or billionaires who seem to be the only ones that judges will rule in favour of against financial institutions. The EU is founded on the principles of the rule of law and of dignity and respect for Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and the Irish Courts are not living up to any of these principles. The effect of Judges not upholding the Rule of Law, which is the current case means Ireland cannot be regarded as a democracy. The way Irish Judges are behaving is more like a fascist State as opposed to the democracy that we pretend to be.

The Irish Courts cannot be said to be impartial because there is no Judicial Conduct body to which citizens/litigants can make a complaint against Judges, and this body needs to be staffed by international qualified people who are not themselves current or past Judges. According to settled EU case law C-216/18 PPU LM the Irish Courts are not impartial as there is no Judicial Conduct body and no one in Ireland has ever had a fair trial due to the absence of an impartial Court.

In relation to ICT and case management. It is pretty ridiculous that there is no system in which both parties can consent to an adjournment by email to the Courts instead of Solicitors or Barristers having to be present in Court and take up time for routine issues like an adjournment by consent.

In relation to using ICT to make the Courts transparent, all hearings should be broadcast online live so as anyone can watch and listen to the hearing. A recording of all hearings should be available to the general public to download without a specific request to any authority. These recordings should be allowable as evidence in any complaint against a Judge. The current DAR system can and is been fraudulently modified to protect criminality occurring in the Courts and the Judge can just have the DAR turned off to cover up their bad deeds. A new digital online system should work automatically and the Judge or the Registrar should not be allowed to interfere with it or turn it off during a hearing.

There should be a body which assesses these hearings randomly and have the ability to take a complaint against a Judge for any unfair hearings or bad Judicial behaviour up to and including criminality in the Court by Judges. Very often unrepresented litigants have not got the knowledge, skill, training or the time to deal with a Judge who have treated them unfairly. To protect these venerable individuals and in the interest of having a fair and transparent Justice System, there should be a panel of contempt persons who assess hearings and hold Judges accountable if they are not upholding their Oath or are engaging in an abuse of citizen's rights and/or breaking the law by being in contempt of their own Court.

Best regards,
Adrian Flynn.